

# $C^*$ -tensor categories and subfactors for totally disconnected groups

BY YUKI ARANO<sup>1</sup> AND STEFAAN VAES<sup>2</sup>

In *Operator Algebras and Applications*, The Abel Symposium 2015, Springer, 2016, pp. 1-43.

## Abstract

We associate a rigid  $C^*$ -tensor category  $\mathcal{C}$  to a totally disconnected locally compact group  $G$  and a compact open subgroup  $K < G$ . We characterize when  $\mathcal{C}$  has the Haagerup property or property (T), and when  $\mathcal{C}$  is weakly amenable. When  $G$  is compactly generated, we prove that  $\mathcal{C}$  is essentially equivalent to the planar algebra associated by Jones and Burstein to a group acting on a locally finite bipartite graph. We then concretely realize  $\mathcal{C}$  as the category of bimodules generated by a hyperfinite subfactor.

## 1 Introduction

Rigid  $C^*$ -tensor categories arise as representation categories of compact groups and compact quantum groups and also as (part of) the standard invariant of a finite index subfactor. They can be viewed as a discrete group like structure and this analogy has lead to a lot of recent results with a flavor of geometric group theory, see [PV14, NY15a, GJ15, NY15b, PSV15].

In this paper, we introduce a rigid  $C^*$ -tensor category  $\mathcal{C}$  canonically associated with a totally disconnected locally compact group  $G$  and a compact open subgroup  $K < G$ . Up to Morita equivalence,  $\mathcal{C}$  does not depend on the choice of  $K$ . The tensor category  $\mathcal{C}$  can be described in several equivalent ways, see Section 2. Here, we mention that the representation category of  $K$  is a full subcategory of  $\mathcal{C}$  and that the “quotient” of the fusion algebra of  $\mathcal{C}$  by  $\text{Rep } K$  is the Hecke algebra of finitely supported functions on  $K \backslash G / K$  equipped with the convolution product.

When  $G$  is compactly generated, we explain how the  $C^*$ -tensor category  $\mathcal{C}$  is related to the planar algebra  $\mathcal{P}$  (i.e. standard invariant of a subfactor) associated in [J98, B10] with a locally finite bipartite graph  $\mathcal{G}$  and a closed subgroup  $G < \text{Aut}(\mathcal{G})$ . At the same time, we prove that these planar algebras  $\mathcal{P}$  can be realized by a *hyperfinite* subfactor.

Given a finite index subfactor  $N \subset M$ , the notions of *amenability*, *Haagerup property* and *property (T)* for its standard invariant  $\mathcal{G}_{N,M}$  were introduced by Popa in [P94a, P99, P01] in terms of the associated symmetric enveloping algebra  $T \subset S$  (see [P94a, P99]) and shown to only depend on  $\mathcal{G}_{N,M}$ . Denoting by  $\mathcal{C}$  the tensor category of  $M$ - $M$ -bimodules generated by the subfactor, these properties were then formulated in [PV14] intrinsically in terms of  $\mathcal{C}$ , and in particular directly in terms of  $\mathcal{G}_{N,M}$ . We recall these definitions and equivalent formulations in Section 4. Similarly, *weak amenability* and the corresponding Cowling-Haagerup constant for the standard invariant  $\mathcal{G}_{N,M}$  of a subfactor  $N \subset M$  were first defined in terms of the symmetric enveloping inclusion in [Br14] and then intrinsically for rigid  $C^*$ -tensor categories in [PV14],

---

<sup>1</sup>Graduate School of Mathematics, University of Tokyo, 3-8-1, Komaba, Meguro-ku, Tokyo (Japan), arano@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp. Supported by the Research Fellow of the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science and the Program for Leading Graduate Schools, MEXT, Japan.

<sup>2</sup>KU Leuven, Department of Mathematics, Leuven (Belgium), stefaan.vaes@wis.kuleuven.be  
Supported in part by European Research Council Consolidator Grant 614195, and by long term structural funding – Methusalem grant of the Flemish Government.

see Section 5. Reinterpreting [DFY13, A14], it was proved in [PV14] that the representation category of  $\mathrm{SU}_q(2)$  (and thus, the Temperley-Lieb-Jones standard invariant) is weakly amenable and has the Haagerup property, while the representation category of  $\mathrm{SU}_q(3)$  has property (T).

For the  $C^*$ -tensor categories  $\mathcal{C}$  that we associate to a totally disconnected group  $G$ , we characterize when  $\mathcal{C}$  has the Haagerup property or property (T) and when  $\mathcal{C}$  is weakly amenable. We give several examples and counterexamples, in particular illustrating that the Haagerup property/weak amenability of  $G$  is not sufficient for  $\mathcal{C}$  to have the Haagerup property or to be weakly amenable. Even more so, when  $\mathcal{C}$  is the category associated with  $G = \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ , then the subcategory  $\mathrm{Rep} K$  with  $K = \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}_p)$  has the relative property (T). When  $G = \mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{Q}_p)$  with  $n \geq 3$ , the tensor category  $\mathcal{C}$  has property (T), but we also give examples of property (T) groups  $G$  such that  $\mathcal{C}$  does not have property (T).

Our main technical tool is Ocneanu's tube algebra [O93] associated with any rigid  $C^*$ -tensor category, see Section 3. When  $\mathcal{C}$  is the  $C^*$ -tensor category of a totally disconnected group  $G$ , we prove that the tube algebra is isomorphic with a canonical dense  $*$ -subalgebra of  $C_0(G) \rtimes_{\mathrm{Ad}} G$ , where  $G$  acts on  $G$  by conjugation. We can therefore express the above mentioned approximation and rigidity properties of the tensor category  $\mathcal{C}$  in terms of  $G$  and the dynamics of the conjugation action  $G \curvearrowright^{\mathrm{Ad}} G$ .

In this paper, all locally compact groups are assumed to be second countable. We call totally disconnected group every second countable, locally compact, totally disconnected group.

## 2 $C^*$ -tensor categories of totally disconnected groups

Throughout this section, fix a totally disconnected group  $G$ . For all compact open subgroups  $K_1, K_2 < G$ , we define

$\mathcal{C}_1$  : the category of  $K_1$ - $K_2$ - $L^\infty(G)$ -modules, i.e. Hilbert spaces  $\mathcal{H}$  equipped with commuting unitary representations  $(\lambda(k_1))_{k_1 \in K_1}$  and  $(\rho(k_2))_{k_2 \in K_2}$  and with a normal  $*$ -representation  $\Pi : L^\infty(G) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$  that are equivariant with respect to the left translation action  $K_1 \curvearrowright G$  and the right translation action  $K_2 \curvearrowright G$  ;

$\mathcal{C}_2$  : the category of  $K_1$ - $L^\infty(G/K_2)$ -modules, i.e. Hilbert spaces  $\mathcal{H}$  equipped with a unitary representation  $(\pi(k_1))_{k_1 \in K_1}$  and a normal  $*$ -representation  $\Pi : L^\infty(G/K_2) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$  that are covariant with respect to the left translation action  $K_1 \curvearrowright G/K_2$  ;

$\mathcal{C}_3$  : the category of  $G$ - $L^\infty(G/K_1)$ - $L^\infty(G/K_2)$ -modules, i.e. Hilbert spaces  $\mathcal{H}$  equipped with a unitary representation  $(\pi(g))_{g \in G}$  and with an  $L^\infty(G/K_1)$ - $L^\infty(G/K_2)$ -bimodule structure that are equivariant with respect to the left translation action of  $G$  on  $G/K_1$  and  $G/K_2$  ;

and with morphisms given by bounded operators that intertwine the given structure.

Let  $K_3 < G$  also be a compact open subgroup. We define the tensor product  $\mathcal{H} \otimes_{K_2} \mathcal{K}$  of a  $K_1$ - $K_2$ - $L^\infty(G)$ -module  $\mathcal{H}$  and a  $K_2$ - $K_3$ - $L^\infty(G)$ -module  $\mathcal{K}$  as the Hilbert space

$$\mathcal{H} \otimes_{K_2} \mathcal{K} = \{ \xi \in \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{K} \mid (\rho(k_2) \otimes \lambda(k_2))\xi = \xi \text{ for all } k_2 \in K_2 \}$$

equipped with the unitary representations  $(\lambda(k_1) \otimes 1)_{k_1 \in K_1}$  and  $(1 \otimes \rho(k_3))_{k_3 \in K_3}$  and with the representation  $(\Pi_{\mathcal{H}} \otimes \Pi_{\mathcal{K}}) \circ \Delta$  of  $L^\infty(G)$ , where  $\Delta : L^\infty(G) \rightarrow L^\infty(G) \overline{\otimes} L^\infty(G)$  is the comultiplication given by  $(\Delta(F))(g, h) = F(gh)$  for all  $g, h \in G$ .

The tensor product of a  $G$ - $L^\infty(G/K_1)$ - $L^\infty(G/K_2)$ -module  $\mathcal{H}$  and a  $G$ - $L^\infty(G/K_2)$ - $L^\infty(G/K_3)$ -module  $\mathcal{K}$  is denoted as  $\mathcal{H} \otimes_{L^\infty(G/K_2)} \mathcal{K}$  and defined as the Hilbert space

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H} \otimes_{L^\infty(G/K_2)} \mathcal{K} &= \{ \xi \in \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{K} \mid \xi(1_{gK_2} \otimes 1) = (1 \otimes 1_{gK_2})\xi \text{ for all } gK_2 \in G/K_2 \} \\ &= \bigoplus_{g \in G/K_2} \mathcal{H} \cdot 1_{gK_2} \otimes 1_{gK_2} \cdot \mathcal{K} \end{aligned}$$

with the unitary representation  $(\pi_{\mathcal{H}}(g) \otimes \pi_{\mathcal{K}}(g))_{g \in G}$  and with the  $L^\infty(G/K_1)$ - $L^\infty(G/K_3)$ -bimodule structure given by the left action of  $1_{gK_1} \otimes 1$  for  $gK_1 \in G/K_1$  and the right action of  $1 \otimes 1_{hK_3}$  for  $hK_3 \in G/K_3$ .

We say that objects  $\mathcal{H}$  are of *finite rank*

$\mathcal{C}_1$  : if  $\mathcal{H}_{K_2} := \{ \xi \in \mathcal{H} \mid \rho(k_2)\xi = \xi \text{ for all } k_2 \in K_2 \}$  is finite dimensional ; as we will see in the proof of Proposition 2.2, this is equivalent with requiring that  $_{K_1}\mathcal{H}$  is finite dimensional ;

$\mathcal{C}_2$  : if  $\mathcal{H}$  is finite dimensional ;

$\mathcal{C}_3$  : if  $1_{eK_1} \cdot \mathcal{H}$  is finite dimensional ; as we will see in the proof of Proposition 2.2, this is equivalent with requiring that  $\mathcal{H} \cdot 1_{eK_2}$  is finite dimensional.

Altogether, we get that  $\mathcal{C}_1$  and  $\mathcal{C}_3$  are  $C^*$ -2-categories. In both cases, the 0-cells are the compact open subgroups of  $G$ . For all compact open subgroups  $K_1, K_2 < G$ , the 1-cells are the categories  $\mathcal{C}_i(K_1, K_2)$  defined above and  $\mathcal{C}_i(K_1, K_2) \times \mathcal{C}_i(K_2, K_3) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_i(K_1, K_3)$  is given by the tensor product operation that we just introduced. Restricting to finite rank objects, we get rigid  $C^*$ -2-categories.

Another typical example of a  $C^*$ -2-category is given by Hilbert bimodules over  $\text{II}_1$  factors: the 0-cells are  $\text{II}_1$  factors, the 1-cells are the categories  $\text{Bimod}_{M_1-M_2}$  of Hilbert  $M_1$ - $M_2$ -bimodules and  $\text{Bimod}_{M_1-M_2} \times \text{Bimod}_{M_2-M_3} \rightarrow \text{Bimod}_{M_1-M_3}$  is given by the Connes tensor product. Again, restricting to finite index bimodules, we get a rigid  $C^*$ -2-category.

**Remark 2.1.** The standard invariant of an extremal finite index subfactor  $N \subset M$  can be viewed as follows as a rigid  $C^*$ -2-category. There are only two 0-cells, namely  $N$  and  $M$ ; the 1-cells are the  $N$ - $N$ ,  $N$ - $M$ ,  $M$ - $N$  and  $M$ - $M$ -bimodules generated by the subfactor; and we are given a favorite and generating 1-cell from  $N$  to  $M$ , namely the  $N$ - $M$ -bimodule  $L^2(M)$ .

Abstractly, a rigid  $C^*$ -2-category  $\mathcal{C}$  with only two 0-cells (say  $+$  and  $-$ ), irreducible tensor units in  $\mathcal{C}_{++}$  and  $\mathcal{C}_{--}$ , and a given generating object  $\mathcal{H} \in \mathcal{C}_{+-}$  is exactly the same as a standard  $\lambda$ -lattice in the sense of Popa [P94b, Definitions 1.1 and 2.1]. Indeed, for every  $n \geq 0$ , define  $\mathcal{H}_{+,n}$  as the  $n$ -fold alternating tensor product of  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\overline{\mathcal{H}}$  starting with  $\mathcal{H}$ . Similarly, define  $\mathcal{H}_{-,n}$  by starting with  $\overline{\mathcal{H}}$ . For  $0 \leq j$ , define  $A_{0j} = \text{End}(\mathcal{H}_{+,j})$ . When  $0 \leq i \leq j < \infty$ , define  $A_{ij} \subset A_{0j}$  as  $A_{ij} := 1^i \otimes \text{End}(\mathcal{H}_{(-1)^i, j-i})$  viewed as a subalgebra of  $A_{0j} = \text{End}(\mathcal{H}_{+,j})$  by writing  $\mathcal{H}_{+,j} = \mathcal{H}_{+,i} \mathcal{H}_{(-1)^i, j-i}$ . The standard solutions for the conjugate equations (see Section 3) give rise to canonical projections  $e_+ \in \text{End}(\mathcal{H}\overline{\mathcal{H}})$  and  $e_- \in \text{End}(\overline{\mathcal{H}}\mathcal{H})$  given by

$$e_+ = d(\mathcal{H})^{-1} s_{\mathcal{H}} s_{\mathcal{H}}^* \quad \text{and} \quad e_- = d(\mathcal{H})^{-1} t_{\mathcal{H}} t_{\mathcal{H}}^*,$$

and thus to a representation of the Jones projections  $e_j \in A_{kl}$  (for  $k < j < l$ ). Finally, if we equip all  $A_{ij}$  with the normalized categorical trace, we have defined a standard  $\lambda$ -lattice in the sense of [P94b, Definitions 1.1 and 2.1]. Given two rigid  $C^*$ -2-categories with fixed generating objects as above, it is straightforward to check that the associated standard  $\lambda$ -lattices are isomorphic if and only if there exists an equivalence of  $C^*$ -2-categories preserving the generators. Conversely given a standard  $\lambda$ -lattice  $\mathcal{G}$ , by [P94b, Theorem 3.1], there exists

an extremal subfactor  $N \subset M$  whose standard invariant is  $\mathcal{G}$  and we can define  $\mathcal{C}$  as the  $C^*$ -2-category of the subfactor  $N \subset M$ , generated by the  $N$ - $M$ -bimodule  $L^2(M)$  as in the beginning of this remark. One can also define  $\mathcal{C}$  directly in terms of  $\mathcal{G}$  (see e.g. [MPS08, Section 4.1] for a planar algebra version of this construction).

Thus, also subfactor planar algebras in the sense of [J99] are “the same” as rigid  $C^*$ -2-categories with two 0-cells and such a given generating object  $\mathcal{H} \in \mathcal{C}_{+-}$ .

For more background on rigid  $C^*$ -tensor categories, we refer to [NT13].

**Proposition 2.2.** *The  $C^*$ -2-categories  $\mathcal{C}_1$  and  $\mathcal{C}_3$  are naturally equivalent. In particular, fixing  $K_1 = K_2 = K$ , we get the naturally equivalent rigid  $C^*$ -tensor categories  $\mathcal{C}_{1,f}(K < G)$  and  $\mathcal{C}_{3,f}(K < G)$ . Up to Morita equivalence<sup>3</sup>, these do not depend on the choice of compact open subgroup  $K < G$ .*

*Proof.* Using the left and right translation operators  $\lambda_g$  and  $\rho_g$  on  $L^2(G)$ , one checks that the following formulae define natural equivalences and their inverses between the categories  $\mathcal{C}_1$ ,  $\mathcal{C}_2$  and  $\mathcal{C}_3$ .

- $\mathcal{C}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_2 : \mathcal{H} \mapsto \mathcal{H}_{K_2}$ , where  $\mathcal{H}_{K_2}$  is the space of right  $K_2$ -invariant vectors and where the  $K_1$ - $L^\infty(G/K_2)$ -module structure on  $\mathcal{H}_{K_2}$  is given by restricting the corresponding structure on  $\mathcal{H}$ .
- $\mathcal{C}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_1 : \mathcal{H} \mapsto \mathcal{H} \otimes_{L^\infty(G/K_2)} L^2(G)$  given by

$$\begin{aligned} \{ \xi \in \mathcal{H} \otimes L^2(G) \mid (1_{gK_2} \otimes 1)\xi &= (1 \otimes 1_{gK_2})\xi \text{ for all } g \in G \} \\ &= \bigoplus_{g \in G/K_2} 1_{gK_2} \cdot \mathcal{H} \otimes L^2(gK_2) \end{aligned}$$

and where the  $K_1$ - $K_2$ - $L^\infty(G)$ -module structure is given by  $(\lambda_{\mathcal{H}}(k_1) \otimes \lambda_{k_1})_{k_1 \in K_1}$ ,  $(1 \otimes \rho_{k_2})_{k_2 \in K_2}$  and multiplication with  $1 \otimes F$  when  $F \in L^\infty(G)$ .

- $\mathcal{C}_3 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_2 : \mathcal{H} \mapsto 1_{eK_1} \cdot \mathcal{H}$  and where the  $K_1$ - $L^\infty(G/K_2)$ -module structure on  $1_{eK_1} \cdot \mathcal{H}$  is given by restricting the corresponding structure on  $\mathcal{H}$ .
- $\mathcal{C}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_3 : \mathcal{H} \mapsto L^2(G) \otimes_{K_1} \mathcal{H} = \{ \xi \in L^2(G) \otimes \mathcal{H} \mid (\rho_{k_1} \otimes \pi(k_1))\xi = \xi \text{ for all } k_1 \in K_1 \}$  and where the  $G$ - $L^\infty(G/K_1)$ - $L^\infty(G/K_2)$ -module structure is given by  $(\lambda_g \otimes 1)_{g \in G}$ , multiplication with  $F \otimes 1$  for  $F \in L^\infty(G/K_1)$  and multiplication with  $(\text{id} \otimes \Pi)\Delta(F)$  for  $F \in L^\infty(G/K_2)$ .

By definition, if  $\mathcal{H} \in \mathcal{C}_1$  has finite rank, the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}_{K_2}$  is finite dimensional. Conversely, if  $\mathcal{K} \in \mathcal{C}_2$  and  $\mathcal{K}$  is a finite dimensional Hilbert space, then the corresponding object  $\mathcal{H} \in \mathcal{C}_1$  has the property that both  $_{K_1}\mathcal{H}$  and  $\mathcal{H}_{K_2}$  are finite dimensional. Therefore,  $\mathcal{H} \in \mathcal{C}_1$  has finite rank if and only if  $_{K_1}\mathcal{H}$  is a finite dimensional Hilbert space. A similar reasoning holds for objects in  $\mathcal{C}_3$ .

It is straightforward to check that the resulting equivalence  $\mathcal{C}_1 \leftrightarrow \mathcal{C}_3$  preserves tensor products, so that we have indeed an equivalence between the  $C^*$ -2-categories  $\mathcal{C}_1$  and  $\mathcal{C}_3$ .

To prove the final statement in the proposition, it suffices to observe that for all compact open subgroups  $K_1, K_2 < G$ , we have that  $L^2(K_1 K_2)$  is a nonzero finite rank  $K_1$ - $K_2$ - $L^\infty(G)$ -module and that  $L^2(G/(K_1 \cap K_2))$  is a nonzero finite rank  $G$ - $L^\infty(G/K_1)$ - $L^\infty(G/K_2)$ -module, so that  $\mathcal{C}_{i,f}(K_1 < G)$  and  $\mathcal{C}_{i,f}(K_2 < G)$  are Morita equivalent for  $i = 1, 3$ .  $\square$

<sup>3</sup>In the sense of [M01, Section 4], where the terminology weak Morita equivalence is used; see also [PSV15, Definition 7.3] and [NY15b, Section 3].

The rigid  $C^*$ -2-categories  $\mathcal{C}_1$  and  $\mathcal{C}_2$  can as follows be fully faithfully embedded in the category of bimodules over the hyperfinite  $II_1$  factor. We construct this embedding in an extremal way in the sense of subfactors (cf. Corollary 2.4).

To do so, given a totally disconnected group  $G$ , we fix a continuous action  $G \curvearrowright^\alpha P$  of  $G$  on the hyperfinite  $II_\infty$  factor  $P$  that is *strictly outer* in the sense of [V03, Definition 2.1]: the relative commutant  $P' \cap P \rtimes G$  equals  $\mathbb{C}1$ . Moreover, we should choose this action in such a way that  $\text{Tr} \circ \alpha_g = \Delta(g)^{-1/2} \text{Tr}$  for all  $g \in G$  (where  $\Delta$  is the modular function on  $G$ ) and such that there exists a projection  $p \in P$  of finite trace with the property that  $\alpha_k(p) = p$  whenever  $k$  belongs to a compact subgroup of  $G$ . Such an action indeed exists: write  $P = R_0 \overline{\otimes} R_1$  where  $R_0$  is a copy of the hyperfinite  $II_1$  factor and  $R_1$  is a copy of the hyperfinite  $II_\infty$  factor. Choose a continuous trace scaling action  $\mathbb{R}_0^+ \curvearrowright^{\alpha_1} R_1$ . By [V03, Corollary 5.2], we can choose a strictly outer action  $G \curvearrowright^{\alpha_0} R_0$ . We then define  $\alpha_g = (\alpha_0)_g \otimes (\alpha_1)_{\Delta(g)^{-1/2}}$  and we take  $p = 1 \otimes p_1$ , where  $p_1 \in R_1$  is any projection of finite trace. Whenever  $k$  belongs to a compact subgroup of  $G$ , we have  $\Delta(k) = 1$  and thus  $\alpha_k(p) = p$ .

Whenever  $K_1, K_2 < G$  are compact open subgroups of  $G$ , we write

$$[K_1 : K_2] = [K_1 : K_1 \cap K_2] [K_2 : K_1 \cap K_2]^{-1}.$$

Fixing a left Haar measure  $\lambda$  on  $G$ , we have  $[K_1 : K_2] = \lambda(K_1) \lambda(K_2)^{-1}$ . Therefore, we have that  $[K : gKg^{-1}] = \Delta(g)$  for all compact open subgroups  $K < G$  and all  $g \in G$ .

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $G$  be a totally disconnected group and choose a strictly outer action  $G \curvearrowright^\alpha P$  on the hyperfinite  $II_\infty$  factor  $P$  and a projection  $p \in P$  as above. For every compact open subgroup  $K < G$ , write  $R(K) = (pPp)^K$ . Then each  $R(K)$  is a copy of the hyperfinite  $II_1$  factor.*

*To every  $K_1$ - $K_2$ - $L^\infty(G)$ -module  $\mathcal{H}$ , we associate the Hilbert  $R(K_1)$ - $R(K_2)$ -bimodule  $\mathcal{K}$  given by (2.1) below. Then  $\mathcal{H} \mapsto \mathcal{K}$  is a fully faithful 2-functor. Also,  $\mathcal{H}$  has finite rank if and only if  $\mathcal{K}$  is a finite index bimodule. In that case,*

$$\dim_{R(K_1)-}(\mathcal{K}) = [K_1 : K_2]^{1/2} \dim_{\mathcal{C}_1}(\mathcal{H}) \quad \text{and} \quad \dim_{-R(K_2)}(\mathcal{K}) = [K_2 : K_1]^{1/2} \dim_{\mathcal{C}_1}(\mathcal{H}),$$

where  $\dim_{\mathcal{C}_1}(\mathcal{H})$  is the categorical dimension of  $\mathcal{H} \in \mathcal{C}_1$ .

*Proof.* Given a  $K_1$ - $K_2$ - $L^\infty(G)$ -module  $\mathcal{H}$ , turn  $\mathcal{H} \otimes L^2(P)$  into a Hilbert  $(P \rtimes K_1)$ -( $P \rtimes K_2$ )-bimodule via

$$\begin{aligned} u_k \cdot (\xi \otimes b) \cdot u_r &= \lambda(k) \rho(r)^* \xi \otimes \alpha_r^{-1}(b) & \text{for all } k \in K_1, r \in K_2, \xi \in \mathcal{H}, b \in L^2(P), \\ a \cdot \zeta \cdot d &= (\Pi \otimes \text{id}) \alpha(a) \zeta (1 \otimes d) & \text{for all } a, d \in P, \zeta \in \mathcal{H} \otimes L^2(P), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\alpha : P \rightarrow L^\infty(G) \overline{\otimes} P$  is given by  $(\alpha(a))(g) = \alpha_g^{-1}(a)$ .

Whenever  $K < G$  is a compact open subgroup, we define the projection  $p_K \in L(G)$  given by

$$p_K = \lambda(K)^{-1} \int_K \lambda_k dk.$$

We also write  $e_K = pp_K$  viewed as a projection in  $P \rtimes K$ . Since  $P \subset P \rtimes K \subset P \rtimes G$ , we have that  $P' \cap (P \rtimes K) = \mathbb{C}1$ , so that  $P \rtimes K$  is a factor. So,  $P \rtimes K$  is a copy of the hyperfinite  $II_\infty$  factor and  $e_K \in P \rtimes K$  is a projection of finite trace. We identify  $R(K) = e_K(P \rtimes K)e_K$  through the bijective  $*$ -isomorphism  $(pPp)^K \rightarrow e_K(P \rtimes K)e_K : a \mapsto ap_K$ . In particular,  $R(K)$  is a copy of the hyperfinite  $II_1$  factor.

So, for every  $K_1$ - $K_2$ - $L^\infty(G)$ -module  $\mathcal{H}$ , we can define the  $R(K_1)$ - $R(K_2)$ -bimodule

$$\mathcal{K} = e_{K_1} \cdot (\mathcal{H} \otimes L^2(P)) \cdot e_{K_2} . \quad (2.1)$$

We claim that  $\text{End}_{R(K_1)-R(K_2)}(\mathcal{K}) = \text{End}_{\mathcal{C}_1}(\mathcal{H})$  naturally. More concretely, we have to prove that

$$\text{End}_{(P \rtimes K_1)-(P \rtimes K_2)}(\mathcal{H} \otimes L^2(P)) = \text{End}_{\mathcal{C}_1}(\mathcal{H}) \otimes 1 , \quad (2.2)$$

where  $\text{End}_{\mathcal{C}_1}(\mathcal{H})$  consists of all bounded operators on  $\mathcal{H}$  that commute with  $\lambda(K_1)$ ,  $\rho(K_2)$  and  $\Pi(L^\infty(G))$ . To prove (2.2), it is sufficient to show that

$$\text{End}_{P-P}(\mathcal{H} \otimes L^2(P)) = \Pi(L^\infty(G))' \otimes 1 . \quad (2.3)$$

Note that the left hand side of (2.3) equals  $(\Pi \otimes \text{id})\alpha(P)' \cap B(\mathcal{H}) \overline{\otimes} P$ . Assume that  $T \in (\Pi \otimes \text{id})\alpha(P)' \cap B(\mathcal{H}) \overline{\otimes} P$ . In the same way as in [V03, Proposition 2.7], it follows that  $T \in \Pi(L^\infty(G))' \cap 1$ . For completeness, we provide a detailed argument. Define the unitary  $W \in L^\infty(G) \overline{\otimes} L(G)$  given by  $W(g) = \lambda_g$ . We view both  $T$  and  $(\Pi \otimes \text{id})(W)$  as elements in  $B(\mathcal{H}) \overline{\otimes} (P \rtimes G)$ . For all  $a \in P$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\Pi \otimes \text{id})(W) T (\Pi \otimes \text{id})(W)^* (1 \otimes a) &= (\Pi \otimes \text{id})(W) T (\Pi \otimes \text{id})\alpha(a) (\Pi \otimes \text{id})(W)^* \\ &= (1 \otimes a) (\Pi \otimes \text{id})(W) T (\Pi \otimes \text{id})(W)^* . \end{aligned}$$

Since the action  $\alpha$  is strictly outer, we conclude that  $(\Pi \otimes \text{id})(W) T (\Pi \otimes \text{id})(W)^* = S \otimes 1$  for some  $S \in B(\mathcal{H})$ . So,

$$T = (\Pi \otimes \text{id})(W)^* (S \otimes 1) (\Pi \otimes \text{id})(W) .$$

The left hand side belongs to  $B(\mathcal{H}) \overline{\otimes} P$ , while the right hand side belongs to  $B(\mathcal{H}) \otimes L(G)$ , and both are viewed inside  $B(\mathcal{H}) \overline{\otimes} (P \rtimes G)$ . Since  $P \cap L(G) = \mathbb{C}1$ , we conclude that  $T = T_0 \otimes 1$  for some  $T_0 \in B(\mathcal{H})$  and that

$$T_0 \otimes 1 = (\Pi \otimes \text{id})(W)^* (S \otimes 1) (\Pi \otimes \text{id})(W) .$$

Defining the normal  $*$ -homomorphism  $\Psi : L(G) \rightarrow L(G) \overline{\otimes} L(G)$  given by  $\Psi(\lambda_g) = \lambda_g \otimes \lambda_g$  for all  $g \in G$ , we apply  $\text{id} \otimes \Psi$  and conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} T_0 \otimes 1 \otimes 1 &= (\Pi \otimes \text{id})(W)_{13}^* (\Pi \otimes \text{id})(W)_{12}^* (S \otimes 1) (\Pi \otimes \text{id})(W)_{12} (\Pi \otimes \text{id})(W)_{13} \\ &= (\Pi \otimes \text{id})(W)_{13}^* (T_0 \otimes 1 \otimes 1) (\Pi \otimes \text{id})(W)_{13} . \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $T_0$  commutes with  $\Pi(L^\infty(G))$  and (2.2) is proven.

It is easy to check that  $\mathcal{H} \mapsto \mathcal{K}$  naturally preserves tensor products. So, we have found a fully faithful 2-functor from  $\mathcal{C}_1$  to the  $C^*$ -2-category of Hilbert bimodules over hyperfinite  $\text{II}_1$  factors.

To compute  $\dim_{-R(K_2)}(\mathcal{K})$ , observe that for all  $k \in K_1$ ,  $r \in K_2$  and  $g \in G$ , we have  $\alpha_{kgr}(p) = \alpha_{kg}(p) = \alpha_g(\alpha_{g^{-1}kg}(p)) = \alpha_g(p)$ . Therefore, as a right  $(P \rtimes K_2)$ -module, we have

$$e_{K_1} \cdot (\mathcal{H} \otimes L^2(P)) \cong \bigoplus_{g \in K_1 \backslash G / K_2} (\mathcal{L}_g \otimes L^2(p_g P)) ,$$

where  $p_g = \alpha_g^{-1}(p)$ , where the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{L}_g := \Pi(1_{K_1 g K_2})(\mathcal{H})$  comes with the unitary representation  $(\rho(r))_{r \in K_2}$  and where the right  $(P \rtimes K_2)$ -module structure on  $\mathcal{L}_g \otimes L^2(p_g P)$  is given by

$$(\xi \otimes b) \cdot (du_r) = \rho(r)^* \xi \otimes \alpha_r^{-1}(bd) \quad \text{for all } \xi \in \mathcal{L}_g, b \in L^2(p_g P), d \in P, r \in K_2 .$$



Since  $p_g P p_g \rtimes K_2 = p_g(P \rtimes K_2)p_g$  is a factor (actually,  $K_2 \curvearrowright p_g P p_g$  is a so-called minimal action), it follows from [W88, Theorem 12] that there exists a unitary  $V_g \in B(\mathcal{L}_g) \overline{\otimes} p_g P p_g$  satisfying

$$(\text{id} \otimes \alpha_r)(V_g) = V_g(\rho(r) \otimes 1) \quad \text{for all } r \in K_2.$$

Then left multiplication with  $V_g$  intertwines the right  $(P \rtimes K_2)$ -module structure on the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{L}_g \otimes L^2(p_g P)$  with the right  $(P \rtimes K_2)$ -module structure given by

$$(\xi \otimes b) \cdot (du_r) = \xi \otimes \alpha_r^{-1}(bd) \quad \text{for all } \xi \in \mathcal{L}_g, b \in L^2(p_g P), d \in P, r \in K_2.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \dim_{-R(K_2)}(\mathcal{L}_g \otimes L^2(p_g P)) \cdot e_{K_2} &= \dim(\mathcal{L}_g) \dim_{-(p_g P)K_2}(L^2(p_g P^{K_2} p)) \\ &= \dim(\mathcal{L}_g) \frac{\text{Tr}(p_g)}{\text{Tr}(p)} = \dim(\mathcal{L}_g) \Delta(g)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

So, we have proved that

$$\dim_{-R(K_2)}(\mathcal{K}) = \sum_{g \in K_1 \backslash G/K_2} \dim(\Pi(1_{K_1 g K_2})(\mathcal{K}_1 \mathcal{H})) \Delta(g)^{1/2}.$$

We similarly get that

$$\dim_{R(K_1)-}(\mathcal{K}) = \sum_{g \in K_1 \backslash G/K_2} \dim(\Pi(1_{K_1 g K_2})(\mathcal{H}_{K_2})) \Delta(g)^{-1/2}.$$

To make the connection with the categorical dimension of  $\mathcal{H}$ , it is useful to view  $\mathcal{H}$  as the image of a  $G$ - $L^\infty(G/K_1)$ - $L^\infty(G/K_2)$ -module  $\mathcal{H}'$  under the equivalence of Proposition 2.2. This means that we can view  $\mathcal{H}$  as the space of  $L^2$ -functions  $\xi : G \rightarrow \mathcal{H}'$  with the property that  $\xi(g) \in 1_{eK_1} \cdot \mathcal{H}' \cdot 1_{gK_2}$  for a.e.  $g \in G$ . The  $L^\infty(G)$ -module structure of  $\mathcal{H}$  is given by pointwise multiplication, while the  $K_1$ - $K_2$ -module structure on  $\mathcal{H}$  is given by

$$(k \cdot \xi \cdot r)(g) = \pi(k) \xi(k^{-1} g r^{-1}) \quad \text{for all } k \in K_1, r \in K_2, g \in G.$$

With this picture, it is easy to see that

$$\Pi(1_{K_1 g K_2})(\mathcal{H}_{K_2}) \cong 1_{eK_1} \cdot \mathcal{H}' \cdot 1_{K_1 g K_2}.$$

The map  $\xi \mapsto \tilde{\xi}$  with  $\tilde{\xi}(g) = \pi(g)^* \xi(g)$  is an isomorphism between  $\mathcal{H}$  and the space of  $L^2$ -functions  $\eta : G \rightarrow \mathcal{H}'$  with the property that  $\eta(g) \in 1_{g^{-1}K_1} \cdot \mathcal{H}' \cdot 1_{eK_2}$  for a.e.  $g \in G$ . The  $L^\infty(G)$ -module structure is still given by pointwise multiplication, while the  $K_1$ - $K_2$ -module structure is now given by

$$(k \cdot \eta \cdot r)(g) = \pi(r)^* \eta(k^{-1} g r^{-1}).$$

In this way, we get that

$$\Pi(1_{K_1 g K_2})(\mathcal{K}_1 \mathcal{H}) \cong 1_{K_2 g^{-1} K_1} \cdot \mathcal{H}' \cdot 1_{eK_2}.$$

It thus follows that

$$\dim_{-R(K_2)}(\mathcal{K}) = \sum_{g \in K_1 \backslash G/K_2} \dim(1_{K_2 g^{-1} K_1} \cdot \mathcal{H}' \cdot 1_{eK_2}) \Delta(g)^{1/2} \quad \text{and} \quad (2.4)$$

$$\dim_{R(K_1)-}(\mathcal{K}) = \sum_{g \in K_1 \backslash G/K_2} \dim(1_{eK_1} \cdot \mathcal{H}' \cdot 1_{K_1 g K_2}) \Delta(g)^{-1/2}. \quad (2.5)$$

Also note that for every  $g \in G$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\dim(1_{K_2 g^{-1} K_1} \cdot \mathcal{H}' \cdot 1_{e K_2}) &= [K_2 : K_2 \cap g^{-1} K_1 g] \dim(1_{g^{-1} K_1} \cdot \mathcal{H}' \cdot 1_{e K_2}) \\
&= [K_2 : K_2 \cap g^{-1} K_1 g] \dim(1_{e K_1} \cdot \mathcal{H}' \cdot 1_{g K_2}) \\
&= \frac{[K_2 : K_2 \cap g^{-1} K_1 g]}{[K_1 : K_1 \cap g K_2 g^{-1}]} \dim(1_{e K_1} \cdot \mathcal{H}' \cdot 1_{K_1 g K_2}) \\
&= [K_2 : K_1] \Delta(g)^{-1} \dim(1_{e K_1} \cdot \mathcal{H}' \cdot 1_{K_1 g K_2}) .
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\dim_{-R(K_2)}(\mathcal{K}) &= [K_2 : K_1] \sum_{g \in K_1 \backslash G / K_2} \dim(1_{e K_1} \cdot \mathcal{H}' \cdot 1_{K_1 g K_2}) \Delta(g)^{-1/2} \\
&= [K_2 : K_1] \dim_{R(K_1)-}(\mathcal{K}) .
\end{aligned}$$

If  $\mathcal{H}$  has finite rank, also  $\mathcal{H}'$  has finite rank so that  $\mathcal{H}' \cdot 1_{e K_2}$  and  $1_{e K_1} \cdot \mathcal{H}'$  are finite dimensional Hilbert spaces. It then follows that  $\mathcal{K}$  is a finite index bimodule.

Conversely, assume that  $\mathcal{K}$  has finite index. For every  $g \in G$ , write

$$\kappa(g) := \dim(1_{K_2 g^{-1} K_1} \cdot \mathcal{H}' \cdot 1_{e K_2}) \Delta(g)^{1/2} = [K_2 : K_1] \dim(1_{e K_1} \cdot \mathcal{H}' \cdot 1_{K_1 g K_2}) \Delta(g)^{-1/2} .$$

So,

$$\kappa(g)^2 = [K_2 : K_1] \dim(1_{K_2 g^{-1} K_1} \cdot \mathcal{H}' \cdot 1_{e K_2}) \dim(1_{e K_1} \cdot \mathcal{H}' \cdot 1_{K_1 g K_2}) .$$

Thus, whenever  $\kappa(g) \neq 0$ , we have that  $\kappa(g) \geq [K_2 : K_1]^{1/2}$ . Since

$$\dim_{-R(K_2)}(\mathcal{K}) = \sum_{g \in K_1 \backslash G / K_2} \kappa(g) ,$$

we conclude that there are only finitely many  $g \in K_1 \backslash G / K_2$  for which  $1_{K_2 g^{-1} K_1} \cdot \mathcal{H}' \cdot 1_{e K_2}$  is nonzero and for each of them, it is a finite dimensional Hilbert space. This implies that  $\mathcal{H}' \cdot 1_{e K_2}$  is finite dimensional, so that  $\mathcal{H}'$  has finite rank.

We have proved that  $\mathcal{H} \mapsto \mathcal{K}$  is a fully faithful 2-functor from  $\mathcal{C}_{1,f}$  to the finite index bimodules over hyperfinite  $\text{II}_1$  factors. Moreover, for given compact open subgroups  $K_1, K_2 < G$ , the ratio between  $\dim_{R(K_1)-}(\mathcal{K})$  and  $\dim_{-R(K_2)}(\mathcal{K})$  equals  $[K_1 : K_2]$  for all finite rank  $K_1$ - $K_2$ - $L^\infty(G)$ -modules  $\mathcal{H}$ . Since the functor is fully faithful, this then also holds for all  $R(K_1)$ - $R(K_2)$ -subbimodules of  $\mathcal{K}$ . It follows that the categorical dimension of  $\mathcal{K}$  equals

$$[K_2 : K_1]^{1/2} \dim_{R(K_1)-}(\mathcal{K}) = [K_1 : K_2]^{1/2} \dim_{-R(K_2)}(\mathcal{K}) .$$

Since the functor is fully faithful, the categorical dimensions of  $\mathcal{H} \in \mathcal{C}_{1,f}$  and  $\mathcal{K} \in \text{Bimod}_f$  coincide, so that

$$[K_2 : K_1]^{1/2} \dim_{R(K_1)-}(\mathcal{K}) = \dim_{\mathcal{C}_1}(\mathcal{H}) = [K_1 : K_2]^{1/2} \dim_{-R(K_2)}(\mathcal{K}) . \quad (2.6)$$

□

**Corollary 2.4.** *Let  $G$  be a totally disconnected group with compact open subgroups  $K_\pm < G$  and assume that  $\mathcal{H}$  is a finite rank  $G$ - $L^\infty(G/K_+)$ - $L^\infty(G/K_-)$ -module. Denote by  $\mathcal{C} = (\mathcal{C}_{++}, \mathcal{C}_{+-}, \mathcal{C}_{-+}, \mathcal{C}_{--})$  the  $C^*$ -2-category of  $G$ - $L^\infty(G/K_\pm)$ - $L^\infty(G/K_\pm)$ -modules (with 0-cells  $K_+$  and  $K_-$ ) generated by the alternating tensor products of  $\mathcal{H}$  and its adjoint.*

*Combining Proposition 2.2 and Theorem 2.3, we find an extremal hyperfinite subfactor  $N \subset M$  whose standard invariant, viewed as the  $C^*$ -2-category of  $N$ - $N$ ,  $N$ - $M$ ,  $M$ - $N$  and  $M$ - $M$ -bimodules generated by the  $N$ - $M$ -bimodule  $L^2(M)$ , is equivalent with  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{H})$  (cf. Remark 2.1).*



*Proof.* A combination of Proposition 2.2 and Theorem 2.3 provides the finite index  $R(K_+)$ - $R(K_-)$ -bimodule  $\mathcal{K}$  associated with  $\mathcal{H}$ . Take nonzero projections  $p_{\pm} \in R(K_{\pm})$  such that writing  $N = p_+ R(K_+) p_+$  and  $M = p_- R(K_-) p_-$ , we have that  $\dim_{-M}(p_+ \cdot \mathcal{K} \cdot p_-) = 1$ . We can then view  $N \subset M$  in such a way that  $L^2(M) \cong p_+ \cdot \mathcal{K} \cdot p_-$  as  $N$ - $M$ -bimodules. The  $C^*$ -2-category of  $N$ - $N$ ,  $N$ - $M$ ,  $M$ - $N$  and  $M$ - $M$ -bimodules generated by the  $N$ - $M$ -bimodule  $L^2(M)$  is by construction equivalent with the rigid  $C^*$ -2-category of  $R(K_{\pm})$ - $R(K_{\pm})$ -bimodules generated by  $\mathcal{K}$ . Since the 2-functor in Theorem 2.3 is fully faithful, this  $C^*$ -2-category is equivalent with  $\mathcal{C}$  and this equivalence maps the  $N$ - $M$ -bimodule  $L^2(M)$  to  $\mathcal{H} \in \mathcal{C}_{+-}$ .  $\square$

From Corollary 2.4, we get the following result.

**Proposition 2.5.** *Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be the subfactor planar algebra of [J98, B10] associated with a connected locally finite bipartite graph  $\mathcal{G}$ , with edge set  $\mathcal{E}$  and source and target maps  $s : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow V_+$ ,  $t : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow V_-$ , together with<sup>4</sup> a closed subgroup  $G < \text{Aut}(\mathcal{G})$  acting transitively on  $V_+$  as well as on  $V_-$ . Fix vertices  $v_{\pm} \in V_{\pm}$  and write  $K_{\pm} = \text{Stab } v_{\pm}$ .*

*There exists an extremal hyperfinite subfactor  $N \subset M$  whose standard invariant is isomorphic with  $\mathcal{P}$ . We have  $[M : N] = \delta^2$  where*

$$\begin{aligned} \delta &= \sum_{w \in V_-} \#\{e \in \mathcal{E} \mid s(e) = v_+, t(e) = w\} [\text{Stab } w : \text{Stab } v_+]^{1/2} \\ &= \sum_{w \in V_+} \#\{e \in \mathcal{E} \mid s(e) = w, t(e) = v_-\} [\text{Stab } w : \text{Stab } v_-]^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

*Moreover,  $\mathcal{P}$  can be described as the rigid  $C^*$ -2-category  $\mathcal{C}_{3,f}(G, K_{\pm}, K_{\pm})$  of all finite rank  $G$ - $L^{\infty}(G/K_{\pm})$ - $L^{\infty}(G/K_{\pm})$ -modules together with the generating object  $\ell^2(\mathcal{E}) \in \mathcal{C}_{3,f}(G, K_+, K_-)$  (cf. Remark 2.1).*

*Proof.* We are given  $G \curvearrowright \mathcal{E}$  and  $G \curvearrowright V_+$ ,  $G \curvearrowright V_-$  such that the source and target maps  $s, t$  are  $G$ -equivariant and such that  $G$  acts transitively on  $V_+$  and on  $V_-$ . Put  $K_{\pm} = \text{Stab } v_{\pm}$  and note that  $K_{\pm} < G$  are compact open subgroups. We identify  $G/K_{\pm} = V_{\pm}$  via the map  $gK_{\pm} \mapsto g \cdot v_{\pm}$ . In this way,  $\mathcal{H} := \ell^2(\mathcal{E})$  naturally becomes a finite rank  $G$ - $L^{\infty}(G/K_+)$ - $L^{\infty}(G/K_-)$ -module. Denote by  $\mathcal{C}$  the  $C^*$ -2-category of  $G$ - $L^{\infty}(G/K_{\pm})$ - $L^{\infty}(G/K_{\pm})$ -modules generated by the alternating tensor products of  $\mathcal{H}$  and its adjoint.

In the 2-category  $\mathcal{C}_3$ , the  $n$ -fold tensor product  $\mathcal{H} \otimes \overline{\mathcal{H}} \otimes \cdots$  equals  $\ell^2(\mathcal{E}_{+,n})$ , where  $\mathcal{E}_{+,n}$  is the set of paths in the graph  $\mathcal{G}$  starting at an even vertex and having length  $n$ . Similarly, the  $n$ -fold tensor product  $\overline{\mathcal{H}} \otimes \mathcal{H} \otimes \cdots$  equals  $\ell^2(\mathcal{E}_{-,n})$ , where  $\mathcal{E}_{-,n}$  is the set of paths of length  $n$  starting at an odd vertex. So by construction, under the equivalence of Remark 2.1,  $\mathcal{C}$  together with its generator  $\mathcal{H} \in \mathcal{C}_{+-}$  corresponds exactly to the planar algebra  $\mathcal{P}$  constructed in [B10, J98].

By Corollary 2.4, we get that  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{H})$  is the standard invariant of an extremal hyperfinite subfactor  $N \subset M$ . In particular,  $[M : N] = \delta^2$  with  $\delta = \dim_{\mathcal{C}_3}(\mathcal{H})$ . Combining (2.6) with (2.4), and using that

$$\Delta(g)^{-1/2} = [gK_+g^{-1} : K_+]^{1/2} = [\text{Stab}(g \cdot v_+) : K_+]^{1/2},$$

---

<sup>4</sup>Note that in [B10], also a weight function  $\mu : V_+ \sqcup V_- \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_0^+$  scaled by the action of  $G$  is part of the construction. But only when we take  $\mu$  to be a multiple of the function  $v \mapsto [\text{Stab } v : \text{Stab } v_+]^{1/2}$ , we actually obtain a subfactor planar algebra, contrary to what is claimed in [B10, Proposition 4.1].

we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta &= [K_+ : K_-]^{1/2} \sum_{g \in G/K_+} \dim(1_{gK_+} \cdot \mathcal{H} \cdot 1_{eK_-}) \Delta(g)^{-1/2} \\
&= \sum_{g \in G/K_+} \#\{e \in \mathcal{E} \mid s(e) = g \cdot v_+, t(e) = v_-\} [\text{Stab}(g \cdot v_+) : K_+]^{1/2} [K_+ : K_-]^{1/2} \\
&= \sum_{w \in V_+} \#\{e \in \mathcal{E} \mid s(e) = w, t(e) = v_-\} [\text{Stab } w : \text{Stab } v_-]^{1/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (2.6) with (2.5), we similarly get that

$$\delta = \sum_{w \in V_-} \#\{e \in \mathcal{E} \mid s(e) = v_+, t(e) = w\} [\text{Stab } w : \text{Stab } v_+]^{1/2}.$$

To conclude the proof of the proposition, it remains to show that  $\mathcal{C}$  is equal to the  $C^*$ -2-category of all finite rank  $G$ - $L^\infty(G/K_\pm)$ - $L^\infty(G/K_\pm)$ -modules. For the  $G$ - $L^\infty(G/K_+)$ - $L^\infty(G/K_-)$ -modules, this amounts to proving that all irreducible representations of  $K_+ \cap K_-$  appear in

$$\ell^2(\text{paths starting at } v_+ \text{ and ending at } v_-).$$

Since the graph is connected, the action of  $K_+ \cap K_-$  on this set of paths is faithful and the result follows. The other cases are proved in the same way.  $\square$

**Remark 2.6.** Note that the subfactors  $N \subset M$  in Proposition 2.5 are *irreducible* precisely when  $G$  acts transitively on the set of edges and there are no multiple edges. This means that the totally disconnected group  $G$  is *generated* by the compact open subgroups  $K_\pm < G$  and that we can identify  $\mathcal{E} = G/(K_+ \cap K_-)$ ,  $V_\pm = G/K_\pm$  with the natural source and target maps  $G/(K_+ \cap K_-) \rightarrow G/K_\pm$ . The irreducible subfactor  $N \subset M$  then has integer index given by  $[M : N] = [K_+ : K_+ \cap K_-] [K_- : K_+ \cap K_-]$ .

We finally note that the rigid  $C^*$ -tensor categories  $\mathcal{C}_{1,f}(K < G)$  and  $\mathcal{C}_{3,f}(K < G)$  also arise in a different way as categories of bimodules over a  $II_1$  factor in the case where  $K < G$  is the *Schlichting completion* of a *Hecke pair*  $\Lambda < \Gamma$ , cf. [DV10, Section 4].

Recall that a Hecke pair consists of a countable group  $\Gamma$  together with a subgroup  $\Lambda < \Gamma$  that is almost normal, meaning that  $g\Lambda g^{-1} \cap \Lambda$  has finite index in  $\Lambda$  for all  $g \in \Gamma$ . The left translation action of  $\Gamma$  on  $\Gamma/\Lambda$  gives a homomorphism  $\pi$  of  $\Gamma$  to the group of permutations of  $\Gamma/\Lambda$ . The closure  $G$  of  $\pi(\Gamma)$  for the topology of pointwise convergence is a totally disconnected group and the stabilizer  $K$  of the point  $e\Lambda \in \Gamma/\Lambda$  is a compact open subgroup of  $G$  with the property that  $\Lambda = \pi^{-1}(K)$ . One calls  $(G, K)$  the *Schlichting completion* of the Hecke pair  $(\Gamma, \Lambda)$ . Note that there is a natural identification of  $G/K$  and  $\Gamma/\Lambda$ .

**Proposition 2.7.** *Let  $\Lambda < \Gamma$  be a Hecke pair with Schlichting completion  $K < G$ . Choose an action  $\Gamma \curvearrowright^\alpha P$  of  $\Gamma$  by outer automorphisms of a  $II_1$  factor  $P$ . Define  $N = P \rtimes \Lambda$  and  $M = P \rtimes \Gamma$ . Note that  $N \subset M$  is an irreducible, quasi-regular inclusion of  $II_1$  factors. Denote by  $\mathcal{C}$  the tensor category of finite index  $N$ - $N$ -bimodules generated by the finite index  $N$ -subbimodules of  $L^2(M)$ .*

*Then,  $\mathcal{C}$  and the earlier defined  $\mathcal{C}_{1,f}(K < G)$  and  $\mathcal{C}_{3,f}(K < G)$  are naturally equivalent rigid  $C^*$ -tensor categories.*

*Proof.* Define

$\mathcal{C}_4$  : the category of  $\Lambda$ - $\Lambda$ - $\ell^\infty(\Gamma)$ -modules, i.e. Hilbert spaces  $\mathcal{H}$  equipped with two commuting unitary representations of  $\Lambda$  and a representation of  $\ell^\infty(\Gamma)$  that are covariant with respect to the left and right translation actions  $\Lambda \curvearrowright \Gamma$  ;

$\mathcal{C}_5$  : the category of  $\Lambda$ - $\ell^\infty(\Gamma/\Lambda)$ -modules, i.e. Hilbert spaces equipped with a unitary representation of  $\Lambda$  and a representation of  $\ell^\infty(\Gamma/\Lambda)$  that are covariant with respect to the left translation action  $\Lambda \curvearrowright \Gamma/\Lambda$  :

with morphisms again given by bounded operators that intertwine the given structure.

To define the tensor product of two objects in  $\mathcal{C}_4$ , it is useful to view  $\mathcal{H} \in \mathcal{C}_4$  as a family of Hilbert spaces  $(\mathcal{H}_g)_{g \in \Gamma}$  together with unitary operators  $\lambda(k) : \mathcal{H}_g \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{kg}$  and  $\rho(k) : \mathcal{H}_g \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{gk^{-1}}$  for all  $k \in \Lambda$ , satisfying the obvious relations. The tensor product of two  $\Lambda$ - $\Lambda$ - $\ell^\infty(\Gamma)$ -modules  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\mathcal{K}$  is then defined as

$$(\mathcal{H} \otimes_\Lambda \mathcal{K})_g = \left\{ (\xi_h)_{h \in \Gamma} \mid \begin{aligned} &\xi_h \in \mathcal{H}_h \otimes \mathcal{K}_{h^{-1}g}, \\ &\xi_{hk^{-1}} = (\rho_{\mathcal{H}}(k) \otimes \lambda_{\mathcal{K}}(k))(\xi_h) \text{ for all } h \in \Gamma, k \in \Lambda, \\ &\sum_{h \in \Gamma/\Lambda} \|\xi_h\|^2 < \infty \end{aligned} \right\}$$

with  $\lambda(k) : (\mathcal{H} \otimes_\Lambda \mathcal{K})_g \rightarrow (\mathcal{H} \otimes_\Lambda \mathcal{K})_{kg}$  given by  $(\lambda(k)\xi)_h = (\lambda_{\mathcal{H}}(k) \otimes 1)\xi_{k^{-1}h}$  and  $\rho(k) : (\mathcal{H} \otimes_\Lambda \mathcal{K})_g \rightarrow (\mathcal{H} \otimes_\Lambda \mathcal{K})_{gk^{-1}}$  given by  $(\rho(k)\xi)_h = (1 \otimes \rho_{\mathcal{K}}(k))\xi(h)$  for all  $k \in \Lambda$ ,  $h \in \Gamma$ . Of course, choosing a section  $i : \Gamma/\Lambda \rightarrow \Gamma$ , we have

$$(\mathcal{H} \otimes_\Lambda \mathcal{K})_g \cong \bigoplus_{h \in \Gamma/\Lambda} (\mathcal{H}_{i(h)} \otimes \mathcal{K}_{i(h)^{-1}g}),$$

but this isomorphism depends on the choice of the section.

As in Proposition 2.2,  $\mathcal{C}_4$  and  $\mathcal{C}_5$  are equivalent  $C^*$ -categories, where the equivalence and its inverse are defined as follows.

- $\mathcal{C}_4 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_5 : \mathcal{H} \mapsto \mathcal{K}$ , with

$$\mathcal{K}_{g\Lambda} = \{ (\xi_h)_{h \in g\Lambda} \mid \xi_h \in \mathcal{H}_h, \xi_{hk^{-1}} = \rho(k)\xi_h \text{ for all } h \in g\Lambda, k \in \Lambda \}$$

and with the natural  $\Lambda$ - $\ell^\infty(\Gamma/\Lambda)$ -module structure. Note that  $\mathcal{K}_{g\Lambda} \cong \mathcal{H}_g$ , but again, this isomorphism depends on a choice of section  $\Gamma/\Lambda \rightarrow \Gamma$ .

- $\mathcal{C}_5 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_4 : \mathcal{K} \mapsto \mathcal{H}$ , with  $\mathcal{H}_g = \mathcal{K}_{g\Lambda}$  and the obvious  $\Lambda$ - $\Lambda$ - $\ell^\infty(\Gamma)$ -module structure.

We say that an object  $\mathcal{H} \in \mathcal{C}_5$  has finite rank if  $\mathcal{H}$  is a finite dimensional Hilbert space. This is equivalent to requiring that all Hilbert spaces  $\mathcal{H}_{g\Lambda}$  are finite dimensional and that there are only finitely many double cosets  $\Lambda g \Lambda$  for which  $\mathcal{H}_{g\Lambda}$  is nonzero. Similarly, we say that an object  $\mathcal{H} \in \mathcal{C}_4$  has finite rank if all Hilbert spaces  $\mathcal{H}_g$  are finite dimensional and if there are only finitely many double cosets  $\Lambda g \Lambda$  for which  $\mathcal{H}_g$  is nonzero. Note here that an algebraic variant of the category of finite rank objects in  $\mathcal{C}_4$  was already introduced in [Z98].

In this way, we have defined the rigid  $C^*$ -tensor category  $\mathcal{C}_{4,f}(\Lambda < \Gamma)$  consisting of the finite rank objects in  $\mathcal{C}_4$ . Note that, in a different context, this rigid  $C^*$ -tensor category  $\mathcal{C}_{4,f}(\Lambda < \Gamma)$  already appeared in [DV10, Section 4].

Denote by  $\pi : \Gamma \rightarrow G$  the canonical homomorphism. Identifying  $G/K$  and  $\Gamma/\Lambda$  and using the homomorphism  $\pi : \Lambda \rightarrow K$ , every  $K$ - $L^\infty(G/K)$ -module  $\mathcal{H}$  also is a  $\Lambda$ - $\ell^\infty(\Gamma/\Lambda)$ -module.

This defines a functor  $\mathcal{C}_2(K < G) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_5(\Lambda < \Gamma)$  that is fully faithful because  $\pi(\Lambda)$  is dense in  $K$ . Note however that this fully faithful functor need not be an equivalence of categories: an object  $\mathcal{H} \in \mathcal{C}_5(\Lambda < \Gamma)$  is isomorphic with an object in the range of this functor if and only if the representation of  $\Lambda$  on  $\mathcal{H}$  is of the form  $k \mapsto \lambda(\pi(k))$  for a (necessarily unique) continuous representation  $\lambda$  of  $K$  on  $\mathcal{H}$ .

Composing with the equivalence of categories in Proposition 2.2, we have found the fully faithful  $C^*$ -tensor functor  $\Theta : \mathcal{C}_3(K < G) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_4(\Lambda < \Gamma)$ , sending finite rank objects to finite rank objects. By construction,  $\Theta$  maps the  $G$ - $L^\infty(G/K)$ - $L^\infty(G/K)$ -module  $L^2(G/K) \otimes L^2(G/K)$  (with  $G$ -action given by  $(\lambda_g \otimes \lambda_g)_{g \in G}$  and obvious left and right  $L^\infty(G/K)$ -action) to the  $\Lambda$ - $\Lambda$ - $\ell^\infty(\Gamma)$ -module  $\ell^2(\Gamma)$ .

Next, given the outer action  $\Gamma \curvearrowright^\alpha P$ , we write  $N = P \rtimes \Lambda$  and  $M = P \rtimes \Gamma$ . Consider the category  $\text{Bimod}(N)$  of Hilbert  $N$ - $N$ -bimodules. We define the natural fully faithful  $C^*$ -tensor functor  $\mathcal{C}_4(\Lambda < \Gamma) \rightarrow \text{Bimod}(N) : \mathcal{H} \mapsto \mathcal{K}$  where  $\mathcal{K} = L^2(P) \otimes \mathcal{H}$  and where the  $N$ - $N$ -bimodule structure on  $\mathcal{K}$  is given by

$$(au_k) \cdot (b \otimes \xi) \cdot (du_r) = a\alpha_k(b)\alpha_{kh}(d) \otimes \lambda(k)\rho(r^{-1})\xi$$

for all  $a, b, d \in P$ ,  $k, r \in \Lambda$ ,  $h \in \Gamma$  and  $\xi \in \mathcal{H}_h$ . By construction, this functor maps the  $\Lambda$ - $\Lambda$ - $\ell^\infty(\Gamma)$ -module  $\ell^2(\Gamma)$  to the  $N$ - $N$ -bimodule  $L^2(M)$ .

Denoting by  $\mathcal{C}$  the tensor category of finite index  $N$ - $N$ -bimodules generated by the finite index  $N$ -subbimodules of  $L^2(M)$ , it follows that  $\mathcal{C}$  is naturally monoidally equivalent to the tensor subcategory  $\mathcal{C}_0$  of  $\mathcal{C}_{3,f}(K < G)$  generated by the finite rank subobjects of  $L^2(G/K) \otimes L^2(G/K)$ . So, it remains to prove that  $\mathcal{C}_0 = \mathcal{C}_{3,f}(K < G)$ . Taking the  $n$ -th tensor power of  $L^2(G/K) \otimes L^2(G/K)$  and applying the equivalence between the categories  $\mathcal{C}_{3,f}(K < G)$  and  $\mathcal{C}_{2,f}(K < G)$ , it suffices to show that every irreducible  $K$ - $L^\infty(G/K)$ -module appears in one of the  $K$ - $L^\infty(G/K)$ -modules  $L^2(G/K) \otimes \cdots \otimes L^2(G/K)$  with diagonal  $G$ -action and action of  $L^\infty(G/K)$  on the last tensor factor. Reducing with the projections  $1_{gK}$ , this amounts to proving that for every  $g \in G$ , every irreducible representation of the compact group  $K \cap gKg^{-1}$  appears in a tensor power of  $L^2(G/K)$ . Because  $K < G$  is a Schlichting completion, we have that  $\bigcap_{h \in G} hKh^{-1} = \{e\}$  so that the desired conclusion follows.  $\square$

### 3 The tube algebra of $\mathcal{C}(K < G)$

Recall from [O93] the following construction of the *tube  $*$ -algebra* of a rigid  $C^*$ -tensor category  $\mathcal{C}$  (see also [GJ15, Section 3] where the terminology *annular algebra* is used, and see as well [PSV15, Section 3.3]). Whenever  $I$  is a full<sup>5</sup> family of objects in  $\mathcal{C}$ , one defines as follows the  $*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  with underlying vector space

$$\mathcal{A} = \bigoplus_{i,j \in I, \alpha \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{C})} (i\alpha, \alpha j) .$$

Here and in what follows, we denote the tensor product in  $\mathcal{C}$  by concatenation and we denote by  $(\beta, \gamma)$  the space of morphisms from  $\gamma$  to  $\beta$ . By definition, all  $(\beta, \gamma)$  are finite dimensional Banach spaces. Using the categorical traces  $\text{Tr}_\beta$  and  $\text{Tr}_\gamma$  on  $(\beta, \beta)$ , resp.  $(\gamma, \gamma)$ , we turn  $(\beta, \gamma)$  into a Hilbert space with scalar product

$$\langle V, W \rangle = \text{Tr}_\beta(VW^*) = \text{Tr}_\gamma(W^*V) .$$

---

<sup>5</sup>Fullness means that every irreducible  $i \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{C})$  appears as a subobject of one of the  $j \in I$ .

For every  $\beta \in \mathcal{C}$ , the categorical trace  $\text{Tr}_\beta$  is defined by using a standard solution for the conjugate equations for  $\beta$ , i.e. morphisms  $s_\beta \in (\beta\bar{\beta}, \varepsilon)$  and  $t_\beta \in (\bar{\beta}\beta, \varepsilon)$  satisfying

$$(s_\beta^* \otimes 1)(1 \otimes t_\beta) = 1 \quad , \quad (1 \otimes s_\beta^*)(t_\beta \otimes 1) = 1 \quad , \quad t_\beta^*(1 \otimes V)t_\beta = s_\beta^*(V \otimes 1)s_\beta$$

for all  $V \in (\beta, \beta)$ . Then,  $\text{Tr}_\beta(V) = t_\beta^*(1 \otimes V)t_\beta = s_\beta^*(V \otimes 1)s_\beta$  and  $d(\beta) = \text{Tr}_\beta(1)$  is the categorical dimension of  $\beta$ .

We will also make use of the partial traces

$$\text{Tr}_\beta \otimes \text{id} : (\beta\alpha, \beta\gamma) \rightarrow (\alpha, \gamma) : (\text{Tr}_\beta \otimes \text{id})(V) = (t_\beta^* \otimes 1)(1 \otimes V)(t_\beta \otimes 1) .$$

Whenever  $\mathcal{K}$  is a Hilbert space, we denote by  $\text{onb}(\mathcal{K})$  any choice of orthonormal basis in  $\mathcal{K}$ . The product in  $\mathcal{A}$  is then defined as follows: for  $V \in (i\alpha, \alpha j)$  and  $W \in (j'\beta, \beta k)$ , the product  $V \cdot W$  equals 0 when  $j \neq j'$  and when  $j = j'$ , it is equal to

$$V \cdot W = \sum_{\gamma \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{C})} \sum_{U \in \text{onb}(\alpha\beta, \gamma)} d(\gamma) (1 \otimes U^*)(V \otimes 1)(1 \otimes W)(U \otimes 1) .$$

The  $*$ -operation on  $\mathcal{A}$  is denoted by  $V \mapsto V^\#$  and defined by

$$V^\# = (t_\alpha^* \otimes 1)(1 \otimes V^* \otimes 1)(1 \otimes s_\alpha)$$

for all  $V \in (i\alpha, \alpha j)$ .

The  $*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  has a natural positive faithful trace  $\text{Tr}$  and for  $V \in (i\alpha, \alpha j)$ , we have that  $\text{Tr}(V) = 0$  when  $i \neq j$  or  $\alpha \neq \varepsilon$ , while  $\text{Tr}(V) = \text{Tr}_i(V)$  when  $i = j$  and  $\alpha = \varepsilon$ , so that  $V \in (i, i)$ .

Up to strong Morita equivalence, the tube  $*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  does not depend on the choice of the full family  $I$  of objects in  $\mathcal{C}$ , see [NY15b, Theorem 3.2] and [PSV15, Section 7.2]. Also note that for an arbitrary object  $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $i, j \in I$ , we can associate with  $V \in (i\alpha, \alpha j)$  the element in  $\mathcal{A}$  given by

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{C})} \sum_{U \in \text{onb}(\alpha, \gamma)} d(\gamma) (1 \otimes U^*)V(U \otimes 1) .$$

Although this map  $(i\alpha, \alpha j) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is not injective, we will view an element in  $V \in (i\alpha, \alpha j)$  as an element of  $\mathcal{A}$  in this way.

Formally allowing for infinite direct sums in  $\mathcal{C}$ , one defines the  $\text{C}^*$ -tensor category of ind-objects in  $\mathcal{C}$ . Later in this section, we will only consider the rigid  $\text{C}^*$ -tensor category  $\mathcal{C}$  of finite rank  $G\text{-}L^\infty(G/K)\text{-}L^\infty(G/K)$ -modules for a given totally disconnected group  $G$  with compact open subgroup  $K < G$ . In that case, the ind-category precisely<sup>6</sup> is the  $\text{C}^*$ -tensor category of all  $G\text{-}L^\infty(G/K)\text{-}L^\infty(G/K)$ -modules. Whenever  $\mathcal{K}_1, \mathcal{K}_2$  are ind-objects, we denote by  $(\mathcal{K}_1, \mathcal{K}_2)$  the vector space of *finitely supported* morphisms, where a morphism  $V : \mathcal{K}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_1$  is said to be finitely supported if there exist projections  $p_i$  of  $\mathcal{K}_i$  onto a finite dimensional subobject (i.e. an object in  $\mathcal{C}$ ) such that  $V = p_1 V = V p_2$ .

We say that an ind-object  $\mathcal{H}_0$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  is full if every irreducible object  $i \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{C})$  is isomorphic with a subobject of  $\mathcal{H}_0$ . We define the tube  $*$ -algebra of  $\mathcal{C}$  with respect to a full ind-object  $\mathcal{H}_0$  as the vector space

$$\mathcal{A} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{C})} (\mathcal{H}_0 \alpha, \alpha \mathcal{H}_0)$$

<sup>6</sup>Using Proposition 2.2, every  $G\text{-}L^\infty(G/K)\text{-}L^\infty(G/K)$ -module is a direct sum of finite rank modules because every  $K\text{-}L^\infty(G/K)$ -module is a direct sum of finite dimensional modules, which follows because every unitary representation of a compact group is a direct sum of finite dimensional representations.

on which the  $*$ -algebra structure is defined in the same way as above. Note that  $(\mathcal{H}_0, \mathcal{H}_0)$  naturally is a  $*$ -subalgebra of  $\mathcal{A}$ , given by taking  $\alpha = \varepsilon$  in the above description of  $\mathcal{A}$ . In particular, every projection of  $p$  of  $\mathcal{H}_0$  on a finite dimensional subobject of  $\mathcal{H}_0$  can be viewed as a projection  $p \in \mathcal{A}$ . These projections serve as local units: for every finite subset  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{A}$ , there exists such a projection  $p$  satisfying  $p \cdot V = V \cdot p$  for all  $V \in \mathcal{F}$ .

Whenever  $p_\varepsilon$  is the projection of  $\mathcal{H}_0$  onto a copy of the trivial object  $\varepsilon$ , we identify  $p_\varepsilon \cdot \mathcal{A} \cdot p_\varepsilon$  with the fusion  $*$ -algebra  $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{C}]$  of  $\mathcal{C}$ , i.e. the  $*$ -algebra with vector space basis  $\text{Irr}(\mathcal{C})$ , product given by the fusion rules and  $*$ -operation given by the adjoint object.

To every full family  $I$  of objects in  $\mathcal{C}$ , we can associate the full ind-object  $\mathcal{H}_0$  by taking the direct sum of all  $i \in I$ . The tube  $*$ -algebra of  $\mathcal{C}$  associated with  $I$  is then naturally a  $*$ -subalgebra of the tube  $*$ -algebra of  $\mathcal{C}$  associated with  $\mathcal{H}_0$ . If every irreducible object of  $\mathcal{C}$  appears with finite multiplicity in  $\mathcal{H}_0$ , then this inclusion is an equality and both tube  $*$ -algebras are naturally isomorphic.

For the rest of this section, we fix a totally disconnected group  $G$  and a compact open subgroup  $K < G$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{C}$  the rigid  $C^*$ -tensor category of all finite rank  $G$ - $L^\infty(G/K)$ - $L^\infty(G/K)$ -modules, which we denoted as  $\mathcal{C}_{3,f}(K < G)$  in Section 2. We determine the tube  $*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  of  $\mathcal{C}$  with respect to the following full ind-object.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_0 &= L^2(G \times G/K) \quad \text{with} \\ (F \cdot \xi)(g, hK) &= F(gK) \xi(g, hK) \quad , \quad (\xi \cdot F)(g, hK) = \xi(g, hK) F(ghK) \quad \text{and} \\ (\pi(x)\xi)(g, hK) &= \xi(x^{-1}g, hK) \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

for all  $\xi \in L^2(G \times G/K)$ ,  $F \in L^\infty(G/K)$ ,  $x, g \in G$ ,  $hK \in G/K$ . Note that every irreducible object of  $\mathcal{C}$  appears with finite multiplicity in  $\mathcal{H}_0$ .

We denote by  $(\text{Ad } g)_{g \in G}$  the action of  $G$  on  $G$  by conjugation:  $(\text{Ad } g)(h) = ghg^{-1}$ . In the rest of this paper, we will make use of the associated full and reduced  $C^*$ -algebras

$$C_0(G) \rtimes_{\text{Ad}}^f G \quad \text{and} \quad C_0(G) \rtimes_{\text{Ad}}^r G \quad ,$$

as well as the von Neumann algebra  $L^\infty(G) \rtimes_{\text{Ad}} G$ . We fix the left Haar measure  $\lambda$  on  $G$  such that  $\lambda(K) = 1$ . We equip  $L^\infty(G) \rtimes_{\text{Ad}} G$  with the canonical normal semifinite faithful trace  $\text{Tr}$  given by

$$\text{Tr}(F\lambda_f) = f(e) \int_G F(g) \Delta(g)^{-1/2} dg \quad . \quad (3.2)$$

Note that the modular function  $\Delta$  is affiliated with the center of  $L^\infty(G) \rtimes_{\text{Ad}} G$ , so that  $L^\infty(G) \rtimes_{\text{Ad}} G$  need not be a factor. Also note that the measure used in (3.2) is half way between the left and the right Haar measure of  $G$ .

We consider the dense  $*$ -algebra  $\text{Pol}(L^\infty(G) \rtimes_{\text{Ad}} G)$  defined as

$$\text{Pol}(L^\infty(G) \rtimes_{\text{Ad}} G) = \text{span}\{1_{\mathcal{U}} u_x p_L \mid \mathcal{U} \subset G \text{ compact open subset}, x \in G, \\ L < G \text{ compact open subgroup}\} \quad (3.3)$$

and where  $p_L \in L(G)$  denotes the projection onto the  $L$ -invariant vectors, i.e.

$$p_L = \lambda(L)^{-1} \int_L u_k dk \quad .$$

Note that  $\text{Pol}(L^\infty(G) \rtimes_{\text{Ad}} G)$  equals the linear span of all  $F\lambda_f$  where  $F$  and  $f$  are continuous, compactly supported, locally constant functions on  $G$ .



We now identify the tube  $*$ -algebra of  $\mathcal{C}$  with  $\text{Pol}(L^\infty(G) \rtimes_{\text{Ad}} G)$ . For every  $x \in G$  and every irreducible representation  $\pi : K \cap xKx^{-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{K})$ , we denote by  $\mathcal{H}(\pi, x) \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{C})$  the irreducible  $G$ - $L^\infty(G/K)$ - $L^\infty(G/K)$ -module such that  $\pi$  is isomorphic with the representation of  $K \cap xKx^{-1}$  on  $1_{xK} \cdot \mathcal{H}(\pi, x) \cdot 1_{eK}$ . Note that this gives us the identification

$$\text{Irr}(\mathcal{C}) = \{(\pi, x) \mid x \in K \backslash G / K, \pi \in \text{Irr}(K \cap xKx^{-1})\}. \quad (3.4)$$

We denote by  $\chi_\pi$  the character of  $\pi$ , i.e. the locally constant function with support  $K \cap xKx^{-1}$  and  $\chi_\pi(k) = \text{Tr}(\pi(k))$  for all  $k \in K \cap xKx^{-1}$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** *The  $G$ - $L^\infty(G/K)$ - $L^\infty(G/K)$ -module  $\mathcal{H}_0$  introduced in (3.1) is full. There is a natural  $*$ -anti-isomorphism  $\Theta$  of the associated tube  $*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  onto  $\text{Pol}(L^\infty(G) \rtimes_{\text{Ad}} G)$ . The  $*$ -anti-isomorphism  $\Theta$  is trace preserving.*

Denoting by  $p_\varepsilon$  the projection in  $\mathcal{A}$  that corresponds to the unique copy of the trivial object  $\varepsilon$  in  $\mathcal{H}_0$  and identifying  $p_\varepsilon \cdot \mathcal{A} \cdot p_\varepsilon$  with the fusion  $*$ -algebra of  $\mathcal{C}$ , we have that  $\Theta(p_\varepsilon) = 1_K p_K$  and that the restriction of  $\Theta$  to  $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{C}]$  is given by

$$d(\pi, x)^{-1} \Theta(\pi, x) = p_K \dim(\pi)^{-1} \chi_\pi u_x p_K, \quad (3.5)$$

where  $d(\pi, x)$  denotes the categorical dimension of  $(\pi, x) \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{C})$  and  $\dim(\pi)$  denotes the ordinary dimension of the representation  $\pi$ .

*Proof.* To see that  $\mathcal{H}_0$  is full, it suffices to observe that for every  $h \in G$ , the unitary representation of  $K \cap hKh^{-1}$  on  $1_{eK} \cdot \mathcal{H}_0 \cdot 1_{hK}$  contains the regular representation of  $K \cap hKh^{-1}$ .

Assume that  $\Psi : C_0(G) \rtimes_{\text{Ad}}^f G \rightarrow B(\mathcal{K})$  is any nondegenerate  $*$ -representation. As follows, we associate with  $\Psi$  a unitary half braiding<sup>7</sup> on  $\text{ind-}\mathcal{C}$ . Whenever  $\mathcal{H}$  is a  $G$ - $L^\infty(G/K)$ - $L^\infty(G/K)$ -module, we consider a new  $G$ - $L^\infty(G/K)$ - $L^\infty(G/K)$ -module with underlying Hilbert space  $\mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{H}$  and structure maps

$$\pi_{\mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{H}}(g) = \Psi(g) \otimes \pi_{\mathcal{H}}(g), \quad \lambda_{\mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{H}}(F) = (\Psi \otimes \lambda_{\mathcal{H}})\Delta(F), \quad \rho_{\mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{H}}(F) = 1 \otimes \rho_{\mathcal{H}}(F),$$

for all  $g \in G$ ,  $F \in L^\infty(G/K)$ , with  $\Delta(F)(g, hK) = F(ghK)$ .

We similarly turn  $\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{K}$  into a  $G$ - $L^\infty(G/K)$ - $L^\infty(G/K)$ -module with structure maps

$$\pi_{\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{K}}(g) = \pi_{\mathcal{H}}(g) \otimes \Psi(g), \quad \lambda_{\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{K}}(F) = \lambda_{\mathcal{H}}(F) \otimes 1, \quad \rho_{\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{K}}(F) = (\rho_{\mathcal{H}} \otimes \Psi)\tilde{\Delta}(F),$$

where  $\tilde{\Delta}(F)(gK, h) = F(h^{-1}gK)$ .

Defining the unitary  $U \in M(C_0(G) \otimes K(\mathcal{H}))$  given by  $U(x) = \pi_{\mathcal{H}}(x)$  for all  $x \in G$  and denoting by  $\Sigma : \mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{K}$  the flip map, one checks that  $\Sigma(\Psi \otimes \text{id})(U)$  is an isomorphism between the  $G$ - $L^\infty(G/K)$ - $L^\infty(G/K)$ -modules  $\mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{H}$  and  $\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{K}$ . So, defining

$$\mathcal{K}_1 := \mathcal{K} \otimes L^2(G/K) \cong L^2(G/K) \otimes \mathcal{K},$$

we have found the  $G$ - $L^\infty(G/K)$ - $L^\infty(G/K)$ -module  $\mathcal{K}_1$  with the property that for every  $G$ - $L^\infty(G/K)$ - $L^\infty(G/K)$ -module  $\mathcal{H}$ , there is a natural unitary isomorphism

$$\sigma_{\mathcal{H}} : \mathcal{H} \mathcal{K}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_1 \mathcal{H}.$$

<sup>7</sup>Formally, a unitary half braiding is an object in the Drinfeld center of  $\text{ind-}\mathcal{C}$ . More concretely, a unitary half braiding consists of an underlying  $\text{ind-object}$   $\mathcal{K}_1$  together with natural unitary isomorphisms  $\mathcal{H} \mathcal{K}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_1 \mathcal{H}$  for all objects  $\mathcal{H}$ . We refer to [NY15a, Section 2.1] for further details.

Here and in what follows, we denote by concatenation the tensor product in the category of  $G$ - $L^\infty(G/K)$ - $L^\infty(G/K)$ -modules. So,  $\sigma$  is a unitary half braiding for  $\text{ind-}\mathcal{C}$ .

Using the ind-object  $\mathcal{H}_0$  defined in (3.1) and recalling that  $\mathcal{K}_1 \overline{\mathcal{H}_0} = \mathcal{K} \otimes \overline{\mathcal{H}_0}$  as Hilbert spaces, we define the Hilbert space

$$\mathcal{K}_2 = (\mathcal{K} \otimes \overline{\mathcal{H}_0}, \varepsilon)$$

and we consider the tube  $*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  associated with  $\mathcal{H}_0$ . Using standard solutions for the conjugate equations, there is a natural linear bijection

$$V \in (\mathcal{H}_0 \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H} \mathcal{H}_0) \mapsto \tilde{V} \in (\mathcal{H} \overline{\mathcal{H}_0}, \overline{\mathcal{H}_0} \mathcal{H})$$

between finitely supported morphisms.

By [PSV15, Proposition 3.14] and using the partial categorical trace  $\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}} \otimes \text{id} \otimes \text{id}$ , the unitary half braiding  $\sigma$  gives rise to a nondegenerate  $*$ -anti-homomorphism  $\Theta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow B(\mathcal{K}_2)$  given by

$$\Theta(V)\xi = (\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}} \otimes \text{id} \otimes \text{id})((\sigma_{\mathcal{H}}^* \otimes 1)(1 \otimes \tilde{V})(\xi \otimes 1)) \quad (3.6)$$

for all  $\mathcal{H} \in \mathcal{C}$ ,  $\xi \in \mathcal{K}_2$  and all finitely supported  $V \in (\mathcal{H}_0 \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H} \mathcal{H}_0)$ .

We now compute the expression in (3.6) more concretely. Whenever  $h \in G$  and  $K_0 < K$  is an open subgroup such that  $hK_0h^{-1} \subset K$ , we define the finite rank  $G$ - $L^\infty(G/K)$ - $L^\infty(G/K)$ -module  $L^2(G/K_0)_h$  with underlying Hilbert space  $L^2(G/K_0)$  and structure maps

$$(x \cdot \xi)(gK_0) = \xi(x^{-1}gK_0) \quad , \quad (F_1 \cdot \xi \cdot F_2)(gK_0) = F_1(gK) \xi(gK_0) F_2(gh^{-1}K) \quad .$$

Note that there is a natural isomorphism  $\overline{L^2(G/K_0)_h} \cong L^2(G/K_0)_{h^{-1}}$ . Letting  $K_0$  tend to  $\{e\}$ , the direct limit of  $L^2(G/K_0)_{h^{-1}}$  becomes  $L^2(G)_{h^{-1}}$ . Since  $\mathcal{H}_0 = \bigoplus_{h \in G/K} L^2(G)_{h^{-1}}$ , we identify

$$\overline{\mathcal{H}_0} = \bigoplus_{h \in G/K} L^2(G)_h$$

and we view  $L^2(G/K_0)_h \subset \overline{\mathcal{H}_0}$  whenever  $h \in G$  and  $K_0 < K \cap h^{-1}Kh$  is an open subgroup.

The Hilbert space  $\mathcal{K}_2$  equals the space of  $K$ -invariant vectors in  $1_{eK} \cdot (\mathcal{K} \otimes \overline{\mathcal{H}_0}) \cdot 1_{eK}$ . In this way, the space of  $K$ -invariant vectors in  $1_{eK} \cdot (\mathcal{K} \otimes L^2(G/K_0)_h) \cdot 1_{eK}$  naturally is a subspace of  $\mathcal{K}_2$ . But this last space of  $K$ -invariant vectors can be unitarily identified with  $\Psi(1_{Kh^{-1}} p_{hK_0h^{-1}}) \mathcal{K}$  by sending the vector  $\xi_0 \in \Psi(1_{Kh^{-1}} p_{hK_0h^{-1}}) \mathcal{K}$  to the vector

$$\Delta(h)^{-1/2} \sum_{k \in K/hK_0h^{-1}} \Psi(k) \xi_0 \otimes 1_{khK_0} \in \mathcal{K} \otimes L^2(G/K_0) \quad .$$

We now use that for every  $\mathcal{H} \in \mathcal{C}$ , the categorical trace  $\text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}$  on  $(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H})$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}(V) &= \sum_{x \in G/K, \eta \in \text{onb}(1_{xK} \cdot \mathcal{H} \cdot 1_{eK})} \Delta(x)^{-1/2} \langle V\eta, \eta \rangle \\ &= \sum_{y \in G/K, \eta \in \text{onb}(1_{eK} \cdot \mathcal{H} \cdot 1_{yK})} \Delta(y)^{-1/2} \langle V\eta, \eta \rangle \quad . \end{aligned}$$

A straightforward computation then gives that for all  $\mathcal{H} \in \mathcal{C}$  and all

$$V \in ( \overline{L^2(G/K_0)_g} \mathcal{H} , \mathcal{H} \overline{L^2(G/K_1)_h} )$$

with  $g, h \in G$  and  $K_0 < K \cap g^{-1}Kg$ ,  $K_1 < K \cap h^{-1}Kh$  open subgroups, we have

$$\Theta(V) = \Delta(g)^{-1/2} \Delta(h)^{1/2} [K : K_1] \sum_{\substack{x \in G/gK_0g^{-1} \\ y \in K/K_2 \\ \eta \in \text{onb}(1_{xK} \cdot \mathcal{H} \cdot 1_{eK})}} \Delta(x)^{-1/2} \Psi(1_{K_2y^{-1}h^{-1}} u_x p_{gK_0g^{-1}}) \langle \tilde{V}(1_{xgK_0} \otimes \eta), \pi_{\mathcal{H}}(hy)\eta \otimes 1_{hK_1} \rangle, \quad (3.7)$$

whenever  $K_2 < K$  is a small enough open subgroup such that  $\pi_{\mathcal{H}}(k)$  is the identity on  $\mathcal{H} \cdot 1_{eK}$  for all  $k \in K_2$ . Note that because  $\mathcal{H}$  has finite rank, such an open subgroup  $K_2$  exists. Also, there are only finitely many  $x \in G/K$  such that  $1_{xK} \cdot \mathcal{H} \cdot 1_{eK}$  is nonzero. Therefore, the sum appearing in (3.7) is finite.

Applying this to the regular representation  $C_0(G) \rtimes_{\text{Ad}}^f G \rightarrow B(L^2(G \times G))$ , we see that (3.7) provides a  $*$ -anti-homomorphisms  $\Theta$  from  $\mathcal{A}$  to  $\text{Pol}(L^\infty(G) \rtimes_{\text{Ad}} G)$ . A direct computation gives that  $\Theta$  is trace preserving, using the trace  $\text{Tr}$  on  $L^\infty(G) \rtimes_{\text{Ad}} G$  defined in (3.2). In particular,  $\Theta$  is injective.

We now prove that  $\Theta$  is surjective. Fix elements  $g, h, \alpha \in G$  satisfying  $\alpha g = h\alpha$ . Choose any open subgroup  $K_0 < K$  such that  $gK_0g^{-1}$ ,  $\alpha K_0\alpha^{-1}$  and  $K_1 := h^{-1}\alpha K_0\alpha^{-1}h$  are all subgroups of  $K$ . Put  $\mathcal{H} = L^2(G/K_0)_\alpha$  and note that  $\mathcal{H}$ ,  $L^2(G/K_0)_g$  and  $L^2(G/K_1)_h$  are well defined objects in  $\mathcal{C}$ . For every  $k \in K$ , we consider the vectors

$$\begin{aligned} 1_{k\alpha gK_0} \otimes 1_{k\alpha K_0} &\in 1_{k\alpha gK} \cdot (L^2(G/K_0)_g \mathcal{H}) \cdot 1_{eK} \quad \text{and} \\ 1_{kh\alpha K_0} \otimes 1_{khK_1} &\in 1_{k\alpha gK} \cdot (\mathcal{H} L^2(G/K_1)_h) \cdot 1_{eK}. \end{aligned}$$

In both cases, we get an orthogonal family of vectors indexed by

$$k \in K/(K \cap \alpha K_0\alpha^{-1} \cap \alpha gK_0(\alpha g)^{-1}).$$

So, we can uniquely define  $V \in (\overline{L^2(G/K_0)_g \mathcal{H}}, \mathcal{H} \overline{L^2(G/K_1)_h})$  such that the restriction of  $\tilde{V}$  to  $(L^2(G/K_0)_g \mathcal{H}) \cdot 1_{eK}$  is the partial isometry given by

$$1_{k\alpha gK_0} \otimes 1_{k\alpha K_0} \mapsto \Delta(\alpha)^{-1/2} \Delta(h)^{1/2} 1_{kh\alpha K_0} \otimes 1_{khK_1} \quad \text{for all } k \in K.$$

A direct computation gives that  $\Theta(V)$  is equal to a nonzero multiple of

$$1_{\alpha K_0\alpha^{-1}h^{-1}} u_\alpha p_{gK_0g^{-1}}. \quad (3.8)$$

From (3.7), we also get that  $\Theta$  maps  $(\mathcal{H}_0, \mathcal{H}_0) \subset \mathcal{A}$  onto  $\text{Pol}(L^\infty(K \setminus G) \rtimes K)$ , defined as the linear span of all

$$1_{Kx} u_k p_L$$

with  $x \in G$ ,  $k \in K$  and  $L < K$  an open subgroup. In combination with (3.8), it follows that  $\Theta$  is surjective.

Finally, by restricting (3.7) to the cases where  $g = h = e$  and  $K_0 = K_1 = K$ , we find that (3.5) holds.  $\square$

We recall from [PV14] the notion of a *completely positive (cp) multiplier* on a rigid  $C^*$ -tensor category  $\mathcal{C}$ . By [PV14, Proposition 3.6], to every function  $\varphi : \text{Irr}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is associated a system of linear maps

$$\Psi_{\alpha_1|\beta_1, \alpha_2|\beta_2}^\varphi : (\alpha_1\beta_1, \alpha_2\beta_2) \rightarrow (\alpha_1\beta_1, \alpha_2\beta_2) \quad \text{for all } \alpha_i, \beta_i \in \mathcal{C} \quad (3.9)$$

satisfying

$$\Psi_{\alpha_3|\beta_3, \alpha_4|\beta_4}^\varphi((X \otimes Y)V(Z \otimes T)) = (X \otimes Y) \Psi_{\alpha_1|\beta_1, \alpha_2|\beta_2}^\varphi(V) (Z \otimes T)$$

for all  $X \in (\alpha_3, \alpha_1)$ ,  $Y \in (\beta_3, \beta_1)$ ,  $Z \in (\alpha_2, \alpha_4)$ ,  $T \in (\beta_2, \beta_4)$ , as well as

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{\alpha|\bar{\alpha}, \varepsilon|\varepsilon}^\varphi(s_\alpha) &= \varphi(\alpha) s_\alpha \quad \text{and} \\ \Psi_{\alpha_1\alpha_2|\beta_2\beta_1, \alpha_3\alpha_4|\beta_4\beta_3}^\varphi(1 \otimes V \otimes 1) &= 1 \otimes \Psi_{\alpha_2|\beta_2, \alpha_4|\beta_4}^\varphi(V) \otimes 1 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $V \in (\alpha_2\beta_2, \alpha_4\beta_4)$ .

**Definition 3.2** ([PV14, Definition 3.4]). Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a rigid  $C^*$ -tensor category.

- A *cp-multiplier* on  $\mathcal{C}$  is a function  $\varphi : \text{Irr}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that the maps  $\Psi_{\alpha|\beta, \alpha|\beta}^\varphi$  on  $(\alpha\beta, \alpha\beta)$  are completely positive for all  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{C}$ .
- A cp-multiplier  $\varphi : \text{Irr}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is said to be  $c_0$  if the function  $\varphi : \text{Irr}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  tends to zero at infinity.
- A *cb-multiplier* on  $\mathcal{C}$  is a function  $\varphi : \text{Irr}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$\|\varphi\|_{\text{cb}} := \sup_{\alpha_i, \beta_i \in \mathcal{C}} \|\Psi_{\alpha_1|\beta_1, \alpha_2|\beta_2}^\varphi\|_{\text{cb}} < \infty.$$

A function  $\varphi : \text{Irr}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  gives rise to the following linear functional  $\omega_\varphi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  on the tube algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  of  $\mathcal{C}$  with respect to any full family of objects containing once the trivial object  $\varepsilon$ :

$$\omega_\varphi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : \omega_\varphi(V) = \begin{cases} d(\alpha) \varphi(\alpha) & \text{if } V = 1_\alpha \in (\varepsilon\alpha, \alpha\varepsilon), \\ 0 & \text{if } V \in (i\alpha, \alpha j) \text{ with } i \neq \varepsilon \text{ or } j \neq \varepsilon. \end{cases}$$

By [GJ15, Theorem 6.6], the function  $\varphi : \text{Irr}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is a cp-multiplier in the sense of Definition 3.2 if and only if  $\omega_\varphi$  is positive on  $\mathcal{A}$  in the sense that  $\omega_\varphi(V \cdot V^\#) \geq 0$  for all  $V \in \mathcal{A}$ . In Proposition 5.1, we prove a characterization of cb-multipliers in terms of completely bounded multipliers of the tube  $*$ -algebra.

From Theorem 3.1, we then get the following result. We again denote by  $\mathcal{C}$  be the rigid  $C^*$ -tensor category of finite rank  $G$ - $L^\infty(G/K)$ - $L^\infty(G/K)$ -modules and we identify  $\text{Irr}(\mathcal{C})$  as in (3.4) with the set of pairs  $(\pi, x)$  where  $x \in K \backslash G/K$  and  $\pi$  is an irreducible representation of the compact group  $K \cap xKx^{-1}$ . In order to identify the  $c_0$  cp-multipliers on  $\mathcal{C}$ , we introduce the following definition.

**Definition 3.3.** We say that a complex measure  $\mu$  on  $G$  (i.e. an element of  $C_0(G)^*$ ) is  $c_0$  if

$$\lambda(\mu) := \int_G \lambda_g d\mu(g) \in L(G)$$

belongs to  $C_r^*(G)$ .

We say that a positive functional  $\omega$  on  $C_0(G) \rtimes_{\text{Ad}}^f G$  is  $c_0$  if for every  $x \in G$ , the complex measure  $\mu_x$  defined by  $\mu_x(F) = \omega(Fu_x)$  for all  $F \in C_0(G)$  is  $c_0$  and if the function  $G \rightarrow C_r^*(G) : x \mapsto \lambda(\mu_x)$  tends to zero at infinity, i.e.  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \|\lambda(\mu_x)\| = 0$ .

**Proposition 3.4.** *The formula*

$$\varphi(\pi, x) = \omega(p_K \dim(\pi)^{-1} \chi_\pi u_x p_K) \quad (3.10)$$

*gives a bijection between the cp-multipliers  $\varphi$  on  $\text{Irr}(\mathcal{C})$  and the positive functionals  $\omega$  on the  $C^*$ -algebra  $q(C_0(G) \rtimes_{\text{Ad}}^f G)q$ , where  $q = 1_K p_K$ .*

*The cp-multiplier  $\varphi$  is  $c_0$  if and only if the positive functional  $\omega$  is  $c_0$  in the sense of Definition 3.3.*

*Using the notations  $C_u(\mathcal{C})$  and  $C_r(\mathcal{C})$  of [PV14, Definition 4.1] for the universal and reduced  $C^*$ -algebra of  $\mathcal{C}$ , we have the natural anti-isomorphisms  $C_u(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow q(C_0(G) \rtimes_{\text{Ad}}^f G)q$  and  $C_r(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow q(C_0(G) \rtimes_{\text{Ad}}^r G)q$ .*

*Proof.* Note that the  $G$ - $L^\infty(G/K)$ - $L^\infty(G/K)$ -module  $\mathcal{H}_0$  in (3.1) contains exactly once the trivial module. The first part of the proposition is then a direct consequence of Theorem 3.1 and the above mentioned characterization [GJ15] of cp-multipliers as positive functionals on the tube  $*$ -algebra. The isomorphisms for  $C_u(\mathcal{C})$  and  $C_r(\mathcal{C})$  follow in the same way.

Fix a positive functional  $\omega$  on  $q(C_0(G) \rtimes_{\text{Ad}}^f G)q$  with corresponding cp-multiplier  $\varphi : \text{Irr}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  given by (3.10). We extend  $\omega$  to  $C_0(G) \rtimes_{\text{Ad}}^f G$  by  $\omega(T) = \omega(qTq)$ . For every  $x \in G$ , define  $\mu_x \in C_0(G)^*$  given by  $\mu_x(F) = \omega(Fu_x)$  for all  $F \in C_0(G)$ . Note that  $\mu_x$  is supported on  $K \cap xKx^{-1}$  and that  $\mu_x$  is  $\text{Ad}(K \cap xKx^{-1})$ -invariant. Therefore,  $\lambda(\mu_x) \in \mathcal{Z}(L(K \cap xKx^{-1}))$ . For every  $\pi \in \text{Irr}(K \cap xKx^{-1})$ , denote by  $z_\pi \in \mathcal{Z}(L(K \cap xKx^{-1}))$  the corresponding minimal central projection. From (3.10), we get that

$$\lambda(\mu_x)z_\pi = \varphi(\pi, x)z_\pi \quad \text{for all } x \in G, \pi \in \text{Irr}(K \cap xKx^{-1}). \quad (3.11)$$

For a fixed  $x \in G$ , an element  $T \in \mathcal{Z}(L(K \cap xKx^{-1}))$  belongs to  $C_r^*(G)$  if and only if  $T \in C_r^*(K \cap xKx^{-1})$  if and only if  $\lim_{\pi \rightarrow \infty} \|Tz_\pi\| = 0$ . Also,  $\|T\| = \sup_{\pi \in \text{Irr}(K \cap xKx^{-1})} \|Tz_\pi\|$ . So by (3.11), we get that  $\mu_x$  is  $c_0$  if and only if

$$\lim_{\pi \rightarrow \infty} |\varphi(\pi, x)| = 0 \quad (3.12)$$

and that  $\omega$  is a  $c_0$  functional if and only if (3.12) holds for all  $x \in G$  and we moreover have that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \left( \sup_{\pi \in \text{Irr}(K \cap xKx^{-1})} |\varphi(\pi, x)| \right) = 0.$$

Altogether, it follows that  $\omega$  is a  $c_0$  functional in the sense of Definition 3.3 if and only if  $\varphi$  is a  $c_0$ -function.  $\square$

For later use, we record the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let  $\mu$  be a probability measure on  $G$  that is  $c_0$  in the sense of Definition 3.3. Then every complex measure  $\omega \in C_0(G)^*$  that is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mu$  is still  $c_0$ .*

*Proof.* Denote by  $C_c(G)$  the space of continuous compactly supported functions on  $G$ . Since  $C_c(G) \subset L^1(G, \mu)$  is dense, it is sufficient to prove that  $F \cdot \mu$  is  $c_0$  for every  $F \in C_c(G)$ . Denote by  $\omega_F \in C_r^*(G)^*$  the functional determined by  $\omega_F(\lambda_x) = F(x)$  for all  $x \in G$ . Denote by  $\hat{\Delta} : C_r^*(G) \rightarrow M(C_r^*(G) \otimes C_r^*(G))$  the comultiplication determined by  $\hat{\Delta}(\lambda_x) = \lambda_x \otimes \lambda_x$ . Recall that for every  $\omega \in C_r^*(G)^*$  and every  $T \in C_r^*(G)$ , we have that  $(\omega \otimes \text{id})\hat{\Delta}(T) \in C_r^*(G)$ . Since

$$\lambda(F \cdot \mu) = (\omega_F \otimes \text{id})\hat{\Delta}(\lambda(\mu)),$$

the lemma is proven.  $\square$

## 4 Haagerup property and property (T) for $\mathcal{C}(K < G)$

In Definition 3.2, we already recalled the notion of a cp-multiplier  $\varphi : \text{Irr}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  on a rigid  $C^*$ -tensor category  $\mathcal{C}$ . In terms of cp-multipliers, *amenability* of a rigid  $C^*$ -tensor category, as defined in [P94a, LR96], amounts to the existence of finitely supported cp-multipliers  $\varphi_n : \text{Irr}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  that converge to 1 pointwise, see [PV14, Proposition 5.3]. Following [PV14, Definition 5.1], a rigid  $C^*$ -tensor category  $\mathcal{C}$  has the *Haagerup property* if there exist  $c_0$  cp-multipliers  $\varphi_n : \text{Irr}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  that converge to 1 pointwise, while  $\mathcal{C}$  has *property (T)* if all cp-multipliers converging to 1 pointwise, must converge to 1 uniformly.

Similarly, when  $\mathcal{C}_1$  is a full  $C^*$ -tensor subcategory of  $\mathcal{C}$ , we say that  $\mathcal{C}_1 \subset \mathcal{C}$  has the *relative property (T)* if all cp-multipliers on  $\mathcal{C}$  converging to 1 pointwise, must converge to 1 uniformly on  $\text{Irr}(\mathcal{C}_1) \subset \text{Irr}(\mathcal{C})$ .

We now turn back to the rigid  $C^*$ -tensor category  $\mathcal{C}$  of finite rank  $G$ - $L^\infty(G/K)$ - $L^\infty(G/K)$ -modules, where  $G$  is a totally disconnected group and  $K < G$  is a compact open subgroup. Note that  $\text{Rep } K$  is a full  $C^*$ -tensor subcategory of  $\mathcal{C}$ , consisting of the  $G$ - $L^\infty(G/K)$ - $L^\infty(G/K)$ -modules  $\mathcal{H}$  with the property that  $1_{xK} \cdot \mathcal{H} \cdot 1_{eK}$  is zero for all  $x \notin K$ .

Recall from Definition 3.3 the notion of a  $c_0$  complex measure on  $G$ . We identify the space of complex measures with  $C_0(G)^*$  and we denote by  $\mathcal{S}(C_0(G)) \subset C_0(G)^*$  the state space of  $C_0(G)$ , i.e. the set of probability measures on  $G$ .

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $G$  be a totally disconnected group and  $K < G$  a compact open subgroup. Denote by  $\mathcal{C}$  the rigid  $C^*$ -tensor category of finite rank  $G$ - $L^\infty(G/K)$ - $L^\infty(G/K)$ -modules.*

1.  $\mathcal{C}$  is amenable if and only if  $G$  is amenable.
2.  $\mathcal{C}$  has the Haagerup property if and only if  $G$  has the Haagerup property and there exists a sequence of  $c_0$  probability measures  $\mu_n \in \mathcal{S}(C_0(G))$  such that  $\mu_n \rightarrow \delta_e$  weakly\* and such that  $\|\mu_n \circ \text{Ad } x - \mu_n\| \rightarrow 0$  uniformly on compact sets of  $x \in G$ .
3.  $\mathcal{C}$  has property (T) if and only if  $G$  has property (T) and every sequence sequence of  $\text{Ad } G$ -invariant probability measures  $\mu_n \in \mathcal{S}(C_0(G))$  that converges to  $\delta_e$  weakly\* must converge in norm.
4.  $\text{Rep } K \subset \mathcal{C}$  has the relative property (T) if and only if every sequence of probability measures  $\mu_n \in \mathcal{S}(C_0(G))$  such that  $\mu_n \rightarrow \delta_e$  weakly\* and  $\|\mu_n \circ \text{Ad } x - \mu_n\| \rightarrow 0$  uniformly on compact sets of  $x \in G$  satisfies  $\|\mu_n - \delta_e\| \rightarrow 0$ .

*Proof.* Denote by  $\epsilon : C_0(G) \rtimes_{\text{Ad}}^f G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  the character given by  $\epsilon(F\lambda_f) = F(e) \int_G f(x) dx$ . Write  $q = 1_K p_K$ .

1. Combining Proposition 3.4 and [PV14, Proposition 5.3], we get that  $\mathcal{C}$  is amenable if and only if the canonical  $*$ -homomorphism  $q(C_0(G) \rtimes_{\text{Ad}}^f G)q \rightarrow q(C_0(G) \rtimes_{\text{Ad}}^r G)q$  is an isomorphism. This holds if and only if  $G$  is amenable.

2. First assume that  $\mathcal{C}$  has the Haagerup property. By Proposition 3.4, we find a sequence of states  $\omega_n$  on  $q(C_0(G) \rtimes_{\text{Ad}}^f G)q$  such that  $\omega_n \rightarrow \epsilon$  weakly\* and such that every  $\omega_n$  is a  $c_0$  state in the sense of Definition 3.3. For every  $x \in G$ , define  $\mu_n(x) \in C_0(G)^*$  given by  $\mu_n(x)(F) = \omega_n(Fu_x)$ .

Using the strictly continuous extension of  $\omega_n$  to the multiplier algebra  $M(C_0(G) \rtimes_{\text{Ad}}^f G)$ , we get that  $x \mapsto \omega_n(u_x)$  is a sequence of continuous positive definite functions converging to 1 uniformly on compact subsets of  $G$ . We claim that for every fixed  $n$ , the function  $x \mapsto \omega_n(x)$



tends to 0 at infinity. Denote by  $\epsilon_K : C_r^*(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  the state given by composing the conditional expectation  $C_r^*(G) \rightarrow C_r^*(K)$  with the trivial representation  $\epsilon : C_r^*(K) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . Then,

$$\omega_n(x) = \epsilon_K(\lambda(\mu_n(x)))$$

and the claim is proven. So,  $G$  has the Haagerup property.

The restriction of  $\omega_n$  to  $C_0(G)$  provides a sequence of  $c_0$  probability measures  $\mu_n \in \mathcal{S}(C_0(G))$  such that  $\mu_n \rightarrow \delta_e$  weakly\* and  $\|\mu_n \circ \text{Ad } x - \mu_n\| \rightarrow 0$  uniformly on compact sets of  $x \in G$ .

Conversely assume that  $G$  has the Haagerup property and that  $\mu_n$  is such a sequence of probability measures. By restricting  $\mu_n$  to  $K$ , normalizing and integrating  $\int_K (\mu_n \circ \text{Ad } k) dk$ , we may assume that the probability measures  $\mu_n$  are supported on  $K$  and are  $\text{Ad } K$ -invariant. Fix a strictly positive right  $K$ -invariant function  $w : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_0^+$  with  $\int_G w(g) dg = 1$ . Define the probability measures  $\tilde{\mu}_n$  on  $G$  given by

$$\tilde{\mu}_n = \int_G w(g) \mu_n \circ \text{Ad } g dg.$$

Note that  $\tilde{\mu}_n$  is still  $\text{Ad } K$ -invariant. Also,

$$\lambda(\tilde{\mu}_n) = \int_G w(g) \lambda_g^* \lambda(\mu_n) \lambda_g dg$$

so that each  $\tilde{\mu}_n$  is a  $c_0$  probability measure.

By construction, for every  $x \in G$ , the measure  $\tilde{\mu}_n \circ \text{Ad } x$  is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\tilde{\mu}_n$ . We denote by  $\Delta_n(x)$  the Radon-Nikodym derivative and define the unitary representations

$$\theta_n : G \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(L^2(G, \tilde{\mu}_n)) : \theta_n(x)\xi = \Delta_n(x)^{1/2} \xi \circ \text{Ad } x^{-1}.$$

We also define  $\theta_n : C_0(G) \rightarrow B(L^2(G, \tilde{\mu}_n))$  given by multiplication operators and we have thus defined a nondegenerate  $*$ -representation of  $C_0(G) \rtimes_{\text{Ad}}^f G$  on  $L^2(G, \tilde{\mu}_n)$ .

Note that  $\mu_n$  is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\tilde{\mu}_n$ . We denote by  $\zeta_n \in L^2(G, \tilde{\mu}_n)$  the square root of the Radon-Nikodym derivative of  $\mu_n$  with respect to  $\tilde{\mu}_n$ . Since both  $\mu_n$  and  $\tilde{\mu}_n$  are  $\text{Ad } K$ -invariant, we get that  $\theta_n(p_K)\zeta_n = \zeta_n$ . Since  $\mu_n$  is supported on  $K$ , also  $\zeta_n$  is supported on  $K$  meaning that  $\theta(1_K)\zeta_n = \zeta_n$ .

Since  $G$  has the Haagerup property, we can also fix a unitary representation  $\pi : G \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$  and a sequence of  $\pi(K)$ -invariant unit vectors  $\xi_n \in \mathcal{H}$  such that  $\|\pi(x)\xi_n - \xi_n\| \rightarrow 0$  uniformly on compact sets of  $x \in G$  and, for every fixed  $n$ , the function  $x \mapsto \langle \pi(x)\xi_n, \xi_n \rangle$  tends to zero at infinity.

The formulas  $\psi(x) = \theta_n(x) \otimes \pi(x)$  and  $\psi(F) = \theta(F) \otimes 1$  define a nondegenerate  $*$ -representation of  $C_0(G) \rtimes_{\text{Ad}}^f G$  on  $L^2(G, \tilde{\mu}_n) \otimes \mathcal{H}$ . We define the states  $\omega_n$  on  $C_0(G) \rtimes_{\text{Ad}}^f G$  given by  $\omega_n(T) = \langle \psi(T)(\zeta_n \otimes \xi_n), \zeta_n \otimes \xi_n \rangle$ . By construction,  $\omega_n(q) = 1$  for all  $n$  and  $\omega_n \rightarrow \epsilon$  weakly\*. It remains to prove that each  $\omega_n$  is a  $c_0$  state. Proposition 3.4 then gives that  $\mathcal{C}$  has the Haagerup property.

Fix  $n$ . Defining  $\mu_n(x) \in C_0(G)^*$  given by  $\mu_n(x)(F) = \omega_n(Fu_x)$ , we get that

$$\mu_n(x)(F) = \langle \theta_n(F) \theta(x) \zeta_n, \zeta_n \rangle \langle \pi(x)\xi_n, \xi_n \rangle.$$

Since the function  $x \mapsto \langle \pi(x)\xi_n, \xi_n \rangle$  tends to zero at infinity, we get that even  $x \mapsto \|\mu_n(x)\|$  tends to zero at infinity. So, we only have to show that for every fixed  $x$ , the complex measure given by  $F \mapsto \langle \theta_n(F) \theta(x) \zeta_n, \zeta_n \rangle$  is  $c_0$ . By construction, this complex measure is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\tilde{\mu}_n$ . The conclusion then follows from Lemma 3.5.

3. Note that it follows from [PV14, Proposition 5.5] that  $\mathcal{C}$  has property (T) if and only if every sequence of states on  $q(C_0(G) \rtimes_{\text{Ad}}^f G)q$  converging weakly\* to  $\epsilon$  must converge to  $\epsilon$  in norm.

First assume that  $\mathcal{C}$  has property (T). Both states on  $C^*(G)$  and  $\text{Ad } G$ -invariant states on  $C_0(G)$  give rise to states on  $C_0(G) \rtimes_{\text{Ad}}^f G$ . One implication of 3 thus follows immediately. Conversely assume that  $G$  has property (T) and that every sequence of  $\text{Ad } G$ -invariant probability measures  $\mu_n \in \mathcal{S}(C_0(G))$  converging weakly\* to  $\delta_e$  must converge in norm to  $\delta_e$ . Let  $\omega_n$  be a sequence of states on  $q(C_0(G) \rtimes_{\text{Ad}}^f G)q$  converging to  $\epsilon$  weakly\*. Let  $p \in C^*(G)$  be the Kazhdan projection. Replacing  $\omega_n$  by  $\omega_n(p)^{-1} p \cdot \omega_n \cdot p$ , we may assume that  $\omega_n$  is left and right  $G$ -invariant. This means that  $\omega_n(Fu_x) = \mu_n(F)$  for all  $F \in C_0(G)$ ,  $x \in G$ , where  $\mu_n$  is a sequence of  $\text{Ad } G$ -invariant probability measures on  $G$  converging weakly\* to  $\delta_e$ . Thus  $\|\mu_n - \delta_e\| \rightarrow 0$  so that  $\|\omega_n - \epsilon\| \rightarrow 0$ .

4. First assume that  $\text{Rep } K \subset \mathcal{C}$  has the relative property (T) and take a sequence of probability measures  $\mu_n \in \mathcal{S}(C_0(G))$  such that  $\mu_n \rightarrow \delta_e$  weakly\* and  $\|\mu_n \circ \text{Ad } x - \mu_n\| \rightarrow 0$  uniformly on compact sets of  $x \in G$ . We must prove that  $\|\mu_n - \delta_e\| \rightarrow 0$ . As in the proof of 2, we may assume that  $\mu_n$  is supported on  $K$  and that  $\mu_n$  is  $\text{Ad } K$ -invariant, so that we can construct a sequence of states  $\omega_n$  on  $C_0(G) \rtimes_{\text{Ad}}^f G$  such that  $\omega_n \rightarrow \delta_e$  weakly\*,  $\omega_n = q \cdot \omega_n \cdot q$  and  $\omega_n|_{C_0(G)} = \mu_n$  for all  $n$ .

The formula (3.10) associates to  $\omega_n$  a sequence of cp-multipliers  $\varphi_n$  on  $\mathcal{C}$  converging to 1 pointwise. Since  $\text{Rep } K \subset \mathcal{C}$  has the relative property (T), we conclude that  $\varphi_n(\pi, e) \rightarrow 1$  uniformly on  $\pi \in \text{Irr}(K)$ . Using [PV14, Lemma 5.6], it follows that  $\|\omega_n|_{C_0(G)} - \delta_e\| \rightarrow 0$ . So,  $\|\mu_n - \delta_e\| \rightarrow 0$ .

To prove the converse, let  $\varphi_n : \text{Irr}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a sequence of cp-multipliers on  $\mathcal{C}$  converging to 1 pointwise. Denote by  $\omega_n$  the states on  $q(C_0(G) \rtimes_{\text{Ad}}^f G)q$  associated with  $\varphi_n$  in Proposition 3.4. Since  $\omega_n \rightarrow \epsilon$  weakly\*, the restriction  $\mu_n := \omega_n|_{C_0(G)}$  is a sequence of probability measures on  $G$  such that  $\mu_n \rightarrow \delta_e$  weakly\* and  $\|\mu_n \circ \text{Ad } x - \mu_n\| \rightarrow 0$  uniformly on compact sets of  $x \in G$ . By our assumption,  $\|\mu_n - \delta_e\| \rightarrow 0$ . For every  $\pi \in \text{Irr}(K)$ , the function  $\dim(\pi)^{-1} \chi_\pi$  has norm 1. Therefore,  $\omega_n(\dim(\pi)^{-1} \chi_\pi) \rightarrow 1$  uniformly on  $\text{Irr}(K)$ . By (3.10), this means that  $\varphi_n \rightarrow 1$  uniformly on  $\text{Irr}(K)$ .  $\square$

The following proposition gives a concrete example where  $G$  has the Haagerup property, while  $\mathcal{C}(K < G)$  does not and even has  $\text{Rep } K$  as a full  $C^*$ -tensor subcategory with the relative property (T).

**Proposition 4.2.** *Let  $F$  be a non-archimedean local field with characteristic  $\neq 2$ . Let  $k \geq 2$  and define  $G = \text{SL}(k, F)$ . Let  $K < G$  be a compact open subgroup, e.g.  $K = \text{SL}(k, \mathcal{O})$ , where  $\mathcal{O}$  is the ring of integers of  $F$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{C}$  the rigid  $C^*$ -tensor category of finite rank  $G$ - $L^\infty(G/K)$ - $L^\infty(G/K)$ -modules.*

1.  *$\text{Rep } K \subset \mathcal{C}$  has the relative property (T). In particular,  $\mathcal{C}$  does not have the Haagerup property, although for  $k = 2$ , the group  $G$  has the Haagerup property.*

2.  *$\mathcal{C}$  has property (T) for all  $k \geq 3$ .*

*Proof.* We denote by  $\mathbb{I}$  the identity element of  $G = \text{SL}(k, F)$ . Let  $\mu_n \in \mathcal{S}(C_0(G))$  be a sequence of probability measures on  $G$  such that  $\mu_n \rightarrow \delta_{\mathbb{I}}$  weakly\* and  $\|\mu_n \circ \text{Ad } x - \mu_n\| \rightarrow 0$  uniformly on compact sets of  $x \in G$ . Assume that  $\|\mu_n - \delta_{\mathbb{I}}\| \not\rightarrow 0$ . Passing to a subsequence and replacing  $\mu_n$  by the normalization of  $\mu_n - \mu_n(\{\mathbb{I}\})\delta_{\mathbb{I}}$ , we may assume that  $\mu_n(\{\mathbb{I}\}) = 0$  for all  $n$ . Since  $\mu_n \rightarrow \delta_{\mathbb{I}}$  weakly\* and since there are at most  $k$  of  $k$ 'th roots of unity in  $F$ , we may also assume that  $\mu_n(\{\lambda\mathbb{I}\}) = 0$  for all  $n$  and all  $k$ 'th roots of unity  $\lambda \in F$ .

Every  $\mu_n$  defines a state  $\Omega_n$  on the  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{L}(G)$  of all bounded Borel functions on  $G$ . Choose a weak\*-limit point  $\Omega \in \mathcal{L}(G)^*$  of the sequence  $(\Omega_n)$ . Then,  $\Omega$  induces an  $\text{Ad } G$ -invariant mean on the Borel sets of  $G$ . In particular,  $\Omega$  defines an  $\text{Ad } G$ -invariant mean  $\Omega$  on the Borel sets of the space  $M_n(F)$  of  $n \times n$  matrices over  $F$ . By Lemma 4.5 below,  $\Omega$  is supported on the diagonal  $F\mathbb{I} \subset M_n(F)$ . Since  $\Omega$  is also supported on  $G$ , it follows that  $\Omega$  is supported on the finite set of  $\lambda\mathbb{I}$  where  $\lambda$  is a  $k$ 'th root of unity in  $F$ . But by construction,  $\Omega(\{\lambda\mathbb{I}\}) = 0$  for all  $k$ 'th roots of unity  $\lambda \in F$ . We have reached a contradiction. So,  $\|\mu_n - \delta_{\mathbb{I}}\| \rightarrow 0$ .

By Theorem 4.1,  $\text{Rep } K \subset \mathcal{C}$  has the relative property (T). For  $k \geq 3$ , the group  $\text{SL}(k, F)$  has property (T) and it follows from Theorem 4.1 that  $\mathcal{C}$  has property (T).  $\square$

The following example of [C05] illustrates that  $G$  may have property (T), while the category  $\mathcal{C}$  of finite rank  $G$ - $L^\infty(G/K)$ - $L^\infty(G/K)$ -modules does not.

**Example 4.3.** Let  $F$  be a non-archimedean local field and  $k \geq 3$ . Define the closed subgroup  $G < \text{SL}(k+2, F)$  given by

$$G = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b_1 & \cdots & b_k & c \\ 0 & a_{11} & \cdots & a_{1k} & d_1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & a_{k1} & \cdots & a_{kk} & d_k \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid A = (a_{ij}) \in \text{SL}(k, F), b_i, c, d_j \in F \right\}.$$

As in [C05], we get that  $G$  has property (T). Also, the center of  $G$  is isomorphic with  $F$  (sitting in the upper right corner) and since  $F$  is non discrete, we can take a sequence  $g_n \in \mathcal{Z}(G)$  with  $g_n \neq e$  for all  $n$  and  $g_n \rightarrow e$ . Using the  $\text{Ad } G$ -invariant probability measures  $\delta_{g_n}$ , it follows from Theorem 4.1 that  $\mathcal{C}$  does not have property (T).

Finally, we also include a nonamenable example having the Haagerup property.

**Example 4.4.** Let  $2 \leq |m| < n$  be integers. Define the totally disconnected compact abelian group  $K = \mathbb{Z}_{nm}$  as the profinite completion of  $\mathbb{Z}$  with respect to the decreasing sequence of finite index subgroups  $(n^k m^k \mathbb{Z})_{k \geq 0}$ . We have open subgroups  $mK < K$  and  $nK < K$ , as well as the isomorphism  $\varphi : mK \rightarrow nK : \varphi(mk) = nk$  for all  $k \in K$ . We define  $G$  as the HNN extension of  $K$  and  $\varphi$ . Alternatively, we may view  $K < G$  as the Schlichting completion of the Baumslag-Solitar group

$$B(m, n) = \langle a, t \mid ta^m t^{-1} = a^n \rangle$$

and the almost normal subgroup  $\langle a \rangle$ .

Since  $G$  is acting properly on a tree,  $G$  has the Haagerup property. Also,  $G$  is nonamenable. For all positive integers  $k, l \geq 0$ , we denote by  $\mu_{k,l}$  the normalized Haar measure on the open subgroup  $n^k m^l K$ . Note that  $\varphi_*(\mu_{k,l}) = \mu_{k+1, l-1}$  whenever  $k, l \geq 1$ . Then the probability measures

$$\mu_n := \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n \mu_{n+k, 2n-k}$$

are absolutely continuous with respect to the Haar measure of  $G$ , and thus  $c_0$  in the sense of Definition 3.3, and they satisfy  $\mu_n \rightarrow \delta_e$  weakly\* and  $\|\mu_n \circ \text{Ad } x - \mu_n\| \rightarrow 0$  uniformly on compact sets of  $x \in G$ . By Theorem 4.1,  $\mathcal{C}$  has the Haagerup property.

**Lemma 4.5.** Let  $F$  be a local field with characteristic  $\neq 2$ . Let  $k \geq 2$  and define  $G = \text{SL}(k, F)$ . Every  $\text{Ad } G$ -invariant mean on the Borel sets of the space  $M_k(F)$  of  $k \times k$  matrices over  $F$  is supported on the diagonal  $F\mathbb{I} \subset M_k(F)$ .

*Proof.* We start by proving the lemma for  $k = 2$ . So assume that  $m$  is an  $\text{Ad SL}(2, F)$ -invariant mean on the Borel sets of  $M_2(F)$ .

In the proof of [BHV08, Proposition 1.4.12], it is shown that if  $m$  is a mean on the Borel sets of  $F^2$  that is invariant under the transformations  $\lambda \cdot (x, y) := (x + \lambda y, y)$  for all  $\lambda \in F$ , then

$$m(\{(x, y) \mid (x, y) \neq (0, 0), |x| \leq |y|\}) = 0.$$

Define  $g_\lambda := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \lambda \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  and notice that

$$g_\lambda \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} g_\lambda^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} a + \lambda c & -\lambda a + b - \lambda^2 c + \lambda d \\ c & -\lambda c + d \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence, the map  $\theta : M_2(F) \rightarrow F^2 : \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \mapsto (a - d, c)$  satisfies  $\theta(g_\lambda A g_\lambda^{-1}) = (2\lambda) \cdot \theta(A)$ .

Therefore,  $m(\Omega_0) = 0$  for

$$\Omega_0 := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(F) \mid |a - d| \leq |c| \text{ and } (a - d, c) \neq (0, 0) \right\}.$$

Taking the adjoint by  $\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$  for  $|\lambda| \geq 2$ , we get that  $m(\Omega_1) = 0$  for

$$\Omega_1 := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(F) \mid |a - d| \leq 4|c| \text{ and } (a - d, c) \neq (0, 0) \right\}.$$

For the same reason, we get that  $m(\Omega'_1) = 0$  for

$$\Omega'_1 := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(F) \mid |a - d| \leq 4|b| \text{ and } (a - d, b) \neq (0, 0) \right\}.$$

Write  $X = M_2(F) \setminus F\mathbb{I}$ . The matrices with  $(a - d, c) = (0, 0)$  belong to  $\Omega'_1$  unless they are diagonal. Similarly, the matrices with  $(a - d, b) = (0, 0)$  belong to  $\Omega_1$  unless they are diagonal. So, we find that  $m(\Omega) = 0 = m(\Omega')$  for

$$\Omega = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in X \mid |a - d| \leq 4|c| \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega' = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in X \mid |a - d| \leq 4|b| \right\}.$$

Put  $\Omega'' := g_1 \Omega g_1^{-1}$ , so that  $m(\Omega'') = 0$ . To conclude the proof in the case  $k = 2$ , it suffices to show that  $\Omega \cup \Omega' \cup \Omega'' = X$ .

Take  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in X \setminus (\Omega \cup \Omega')$ . So,  $\frac{1}{4}|a - d| > |b|, |c|$ . We claim that

$$\begin{pmatrix} a' & b' \\ c' & d' \end{pmatrix} := g_1^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} g_1 = \begin{pmatrix} a - c & a + b - c - d \\ c & c + d \end{pmatrix}$$

belongs to  $\Omega$ . Since

$$|a' - d'| = |a - d - 2c| \leq |a - d| + 2|c| < \frac{3}{2}|a - d| \quad \text{and} \quad |b'| \geq |a - d| - |c| - |b| > \frac{1}{2}|a - d|,$$

we indeed get that  $|a' - d'| < 3|b'|$ . The claim follows and the lemma is proved in the case  $k = 2$ .

For an arbitrary  $k \geq 2$  and fixed  $1 \leq p < q \leq k$ , the map

$$M_k(F) \rightarrow M_2(F) : (x_{ij}) \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} x_{pp} & x_{pq} \\ x_{qp} & x_{qq} \end{pmatrix}$$

is  $\text{Ad SL}(2, F)$ -equivariant. So, an  $\text{Ad SL}(k, F)$ -invariant mean  $m$  on  $M_k(F)$  is supported on  $\{(x_{ij}) \in M_k(F) \mid x_{pp} = x_{qq}, x_{pq} = x_{qp} = 0\}$ . Since  $F\mathbb{I}$  is the intersection of these sets,  $m$  is supported on  $F\mathbb{I}$ .  $\square$

## 5 Weak amenability of rigid C\*-tensor categories

Following [PV14, Definition 5.1], a rigid C\*-tensor category is called *weakly amenable* if there exists a sequence of completely bounded (cb) multipliers  $\varphi_n : \text{Irr}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  (see Definition 3.2) converging to 1 pointwise, with  $\limsup_n \|\varphi_n\|_{\text{cb}} < \infty$  and with  $\varphi_n$  finitely supported for every  $n$ .

Recall from the first paragraphs of Section 3 the definition of the tube \*-algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  of  $\mathcal{C}$  with respect to a full family of objects in  $\mathcal{C}$ . To every function  $\varphi : \text{Irr}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , we associate the linear map

$$\theta_\varphi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} : \theta_\varphi(V) = \varphi(\alpha) V \quad \text{for all } V \in (i\alpha, \alpha j) .$$

We define  $\|\theta_\varphi\|_{\text{cb}}$  by viewing  $\mathcal{A}$  inside its reduced C\*-algebra, i.e. by viewing  $\mathcal{A} \subset B(L^2(\mathcal{A}, \text{Tr}))$ , where  $\text{Tr}$  is the canonical trace on  $\mathcal{A}$ . We also consider the von Neumann algebra  $\mathcal{A}''$  generated by  $\mathcal{A}$  acting on  $L^2(\mathcal{A}, \text{Tr})$ .

In the following result, we clarify the link between the complete boundedness of  $\varphi$  in the sense of Definition 3.2 and the complete boundedness of the map  $\theta_\varphi$ .

**Proposition 5.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a rigid C\*-tensor category. Denote by  $\mathcal{A}$  the tube \*-algebra of  $\mathcal{C}$  with respect to a full family of objects in  $\mathcal{C}$ . Let  $\varphi : \text{Irr}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be any function.*

*Then,  $\|\varphi\|_{\text{cb}} = \|\theta_\varphi\|_{\text{cb}}$ . If this cb-norm is finite, we can uniquely extend  $\theta_\varphi$  to a normal completely bounded map on  $\mathcal{A}''$  having the same cb-norm.*

*Proof.* For any family  $J$  of objects, we can define the tube \*-algebra  $\mathcal{A}_J$  and the linear map  $\theta_\varphi^J : \mathcal{A}_J \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_J$ . By strong Morita equivalence, we have  $\|\theta_\varphi^J\|_{\text{cb}} = \|\theta_\varphi\|_{\text{cb}}$  whenever  $J$  is full and we have  $\|\theta_\varphi^J\|_{\text{cb}} \leq \|\theta_\varphi\|_{\text{cb}}$  for arbitrary  $J$ . Also, using standard solutions for the conjugate equations, we get natural linear maps  $(i\alpha, \alpha j) \rightarrow (\bar{j}\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\alpha}\bar{i})$  and they define a trace preserving \*-anti-isomorphism of  $\mathcal{A}_J$  onto  $\mathcal{A}_{\bar{J}}$ . Defining  $\tilde{\varphi} : \text{Irr}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by  $\tilde{\varphi}(\alpha) = \varphi(\bar{\alpha})$  for all  $\alpha \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{C})$ , it follows that  $\|\theta_\varphi\|_{\text{cb}} = \|\theta_{\tilde{\varphi}}\|_{\text{cb}}$  and it follows that  $\theta_\varphi$  extends to a normal completely bounded map on  $\mathcal{A}''$  if and only if  $\theta_{\tilde{\varphi}}$  extends to  $\mathcal{A}''$ .

So, it suffices to prove that  $\|\varphi\|_{\text{cb}} = \|\theta_{\tilde{\varphi}}\|_{\text{cb}}$  and that in the case where  $\|\varphi\|_{\text{cb}} < \infty$ , we can extend  $\theta_{\tilde{\varphi}}$  to a normal completely bounded map on  $\mathcal{A}''$ . First assume that  $\|\theta_{\tilde{\varphi}}\|_{\text{cb}} \leq \kappa$ . Fix arbitrary objects  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{C}$  and write  $\Psi_{\alpha|\beta}^\varphi := \Psi_{\alpha|\beta, \alpha|\beta}^\varphi$ . We prove that  $\|\Psi_{\alpha|\beta}^\varphi\|_{\text{cb}} \leq \kappa$ . Since  $\alpha, \beta$  were arbitrary, it then follows that  $\|\varphi\|_{\text{cb}} \leq \kappa$ .

Note that  $(\alpha\beta, \alpha\beta)$  is a finite dimensional C\*-algebra. Consider the following three bijective

linear maps, making use of standard solutions of the conjugate equations.

$$\begin{aligned}
\eta_1 : \bigoplus_{\pi \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{C})} ((\alpha, \alpha\pi) \otimes (\pi\beta, \beta)) &\rightarrow (\alpha\beta, \alpha\beta) : \eta_1(V \otimes W) = (V \otimes 1)(1 \otimes W) \ , \\
\eta_2 : \bigoplus_{\pi \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{C})} ((\alpha, \alpha\pi) \otimes (\pi\beta, \beta)) &\rightarrow \bigoplus_{\pi \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{C})} ((\alpha\bar{\pi}, \alpha) \otimes (\beta, \bar{\pi}\beta)) : \\
&\eta_2(V \otimes W) = (V \otimes 1)(1 \otimes s_\pi) \otimes (t_\pi^* \otimes 1)(1 \otimes W) \ , \\
\eta_3 : \bigoplus_{\pi \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{C})} ((\alpha\bar{\pi}, \alpha) \otimes (\beta, \bar{\pi}\beta)) &\rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\beta\alpha} : \eta_3(V \otimes W) = (1 \otimes V)(W \otimes 1) \ .
\end{aligned}$$

A direct computation shows that  $\eta := \eta_3 \circ \eta_2 \circ \eta_1^{-1}$  is a unital faithful  $*$ -homomorphism of  $(\alpha\beta, \alpha\beta)$  to the tube  $*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}_{\beta\alpha}$ . One also checks that  $\theta_{\tilde{\varphi}}^{\beta\alpha} \circ \eta = \eta \circ \Psi_{\alpha|\beta}^\varphi$ . So, we get that

$$\|\Psi_{\alpha|\beta}^\varphi\|_{\text{cb}} \leq \|\theta_{\tilde{\varphi}}^{\beta\alpha}\|_{\text{cb}} \leq \|\theta_{\tilde{\varphi}}\|_{\text{cb}} \leq \kappa \ .$$

Conversely, assume that  $\|\varphi\|_{\text{cb}} \leq \kappa$ . Define the ind-objects  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$  for  $\mathcal{C}$  given by

$$\rho_1 = \bigoplus_{\alpha, i \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{C})} \alpha i \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_2 = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{C})} \alpha \ .$$

Define the type I von Neumann algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  of all bounded endomorphisms of  $\rho_1 \rho_2$ . Note that for all  $\alpha, i, \beta \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{C})$ , we have the natural projection  $p_\alpha \otimes p_i \otimes p_\beta \in \mathcal{M}$  and we have the identification

$$(p_\alpha \otimes p_i \otimes p_\beta) \mathcal{M} (p_\gamma \otimes p_j \otimes p_\delta) = (\alpha i \beta, \gamma j \delta)$$

for all  $\alpha, i, \beta, \gamma, j, \delta \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{C})$ . By our assumption, there is a normal completely bounded map  $\Psi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  satisfying

$$\Psi(V) = \Psi_{\alpha i |\beta, \gamma j | \delta}^\varphi(V) \quad \text{for all } V \in (\alpha i \beta, \gamma j \delta) \ .$$

We have  $\|\Psi\|_{\text{cb}} \leq \kappa$ .

Consider the projection  $q \in \mathcal{M}$  given by

$$q = \sum_{\alpha, i \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{C})} p_{\bar{\alpha}} \otimes p_i \otimes p_\alpha \ .$$

Since  $\Psi(qTq) = q\Psi(T)q$  for all  $T \in \mathcal{M}$ , the map  $\Psi$  restricts to a normal completely bounded map on  $q\mathcal{M}q$  with  $\|\Psi|_{q\mathcal{M}q}\|_{\text{cb}} \leq \kappa$ .

Denote by  $\mathcal{A}$  the tube  $*$ -algebra associated with  $\text{Irr}(\mathcal{C})$  itself as a full family of objects. We construct a faithful normal  $*$ -homomorphism  $\Theta : \mathcal{A}'' \rightarrow q\mathcal{M}q$  satisfying  $\Psi \circ \Theta = \Theta \circ \theta_{\tilde{\varphi}}$ . Once we have obtained  $\Theta$ , it follows that  $\|\theta_{\tilde{\varphi}}\|_{\text{cb}} \leq \kappa$  and that  $\theta_{\tilde{\varphi}}$  extends to a normal completely bounded map on  $\mathcal{A}''$ .

To construct  $\Theta$ , define the Hilbert space

$$\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_{\alpha, i, j \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{C})} (\bar{\alpha} i \alpha, j)$$

and observe that we have the natural faithful normal  $*$ -homomorphism  $\pi : q\mathcal{M}q \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$  given by left multiplication. Also consider the unitary operator

$$U : L^2(\mathcal{A}, \text{Tr}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H} : U(V) = d(\alpha)^{-1/2} (1 \otimes V)(t_\alpha \otimes 1) \quad \text{for all } V \in (i\alpha, \alpha j) \ .$$



We claim that  $\Theta$  can be constructed such that  $\pi(\Theta(V)) = UVU^*$  for all  $V \in \mathcal{A}$ . To prove this claim, fix  $i, \alpha, j \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{C})$  and  $V \in (i\alpha, \alpha j)$ . For all  $\gamma, \beta \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{C})$ , define the element  $W_{\gamma, \beta} \in (\bar{\gamma}i\gamma, \bar{\beta}j\beta)$  given by the finite sum

$$W_{\gamma, \beta} = \sum_{Z \in \text{onb}(\bar{\gamma}\alpha, \bar{\beta})} d(\beta)^{1/2} d(\gamma)^{1/2} (1 \otimes 1 \otimes \tilde{Z}) (1 \otimes V \otimes 1) (Z \otimes 1 \otimes 1), \quad (5.1)$$

where  $\tilde{Z} = (1 \otimes t_\beta^*)(1 \otimes Z^* \otimes 1)(s_\gamma \otimes 1)$  belongs to  $(\gamma, \alpha\beta)$ . A direct computation shows that

$$\langle \pi(W_{\gamma, \beta}) U(X), U(Y) \rangle = \langle V \cdot X, Y \rangle$$

for all  $X \in (j\beta, \beta k)$  and  $Y \in (i\gamma, \gamma l)$ . So, there is a unique element  $\Theta(V) \in (1 \otimes p_i \otimes 1)q\mathcal{M}q(1 \otimes p_j \otimes 1)$  satisfying

$$(p_{\bar{\gamma}} \otimes p_i \otimes p_\gamma) \Theta(V) (p_{\bar{\beta}} \otimes p_j \otimes p_\beta) = W_{\gamma, \beta}$$

for all  $\gamma, \beta \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{C})$  and  $\pi(\Theta(V)) = UVU^*$ .

We have defined a faithful normal  $*$ -homomorphism  $\Theta : \mathcal{A}'' \rightarrow q\mathcal{M}q$ . It remains to prove that  $\Psi \circ \Theta = \Theta \circ \theta_{\bar{\varphi}}$ . Using (5.1), it suffices to prove that

$$\Psi_{\bar{\gamma}i|\alpha\beta, \bar{\gamma}\alpha j|\beta}^\varphi(1 \otimes V \otimes 1) = \varphi(\bar{\alpha}) 1 \otimes V \otimes 1. \quad (5.2)$$

The left hand side of (5.2) equals  $1 \otimes \Psi_{i|\alpha, \alpha j|\varepsilon}^\varphi(V) \otimes 1$ . Writing  $V = (T \otimes 1)(1 \otimes 1 \otimes s_{\bar{\alpha}})$  with  $T \in (i, \alpha j \bar{\alpha})$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{i|\alpha, \alpha j|\varepsilon}^\varphi(V) &= (T \otimes 1) \Psi_{\alpha j \bar{\alpha}|\alpha, \alpha j|\varepsilon}^\varphi(1 \otimes 1 \otimes s_{\bar{\alpha}}) = (T \otimes 1)(1 \otimes 1 \otimes \Psi_{\bar{\alpha}|\alpha, \varepsilon|\varepsilon}^\varphi(s_{\bar{\alpha}})) \\ &= \varphi(\bar{\alpha}) (T \otimes 1)(1 \otimes 1 \otimes s_{\bar{\alpha}}) = \varphi(\bar{\alpha}) V. \end{aligned}$$

So (5.2) holds and the proposition is proven.  $\square$

## 6 Weak amenability of $\mathcal{C}(K < G)$

**Theorem 6.1.** *Let  $G$  be a totally disconnected group and  $K < G$  a compact open subgroup. Denote by  $\mathcal{C}$  the rigid  $C^*$ -tensor category of finite rank  $G$ - $L^\infty(G/K)$ - $L^\infty(G/K)$ -modules.*

*Then  $\mathcal{C}$  is weakly amenable if and only if  $G$  is weakly amenable and there exists a sequence of probability measures  $\omega_n \in C_0(G)^*$  that are absolutely continuous with respect to the Haar measure and such that  $\omega_n \rightarrow \delta_e$  weakly\* and  $\|\omega_n \circ \text{Ad } x - \omega_n\| \rightarrow 0$  uniformly on compact sets of  $x \in G$ .*

*In that case, the Cowling-Haagerup constant  $\Lambda(\mathcal{C})$  of  $\mathcal{C}$  equals  $\Lambda(G)$ .*

In order to prove Theorem 6.1, we must describe the cb-multipliers on  $\mathcal{C}$  in terms of completely bounded multipliers on the  $C^*$ -algebra  $C_0(G) \rtimes_{\text{Ad}}^r G$ .

We denote by  $\text{Pol}(G)$  the  $*$ -algebra of locally constant, compactly supported functions on  $G$ . Note that  $\text{Pol}(G)$  is the linear span of the functions of the form  $1_{Ly}$  where  $y \in G$  and  $L < G$  is a compact open subgroup. Also note that for any compact open subgroup  $K_0 < G$ ,  $\text{Pol}(K_0)$  coincides with the  $*$ -algebra of coefficients of finite dimensional unitary representations of  $K_0$ . We define  $\mathcal{E}(G) = \text{Pol}(G)^*$  as the space of all linear maps from  $\text{Pol}(G)$  to  $\mathbb{C}$ . Note that  $\mathcal{E}(G)$  can be identified with the space of finitely additive, complex measures on the space  $\mathcal{F}(G)$  of compact open subsets of  $G$ .

When  $K_0 < G$  is a compact open subgroup, we say that a map  $\mu : G \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(G)$  is  $K_0$ -equivariant if

$$\mu(kxk') = \mu(x) \circ \text{Ad } k^{-1} \quad \text{for all } k, k' \in K_0.$$

Note that this implies that  $\mu(x)$  is  $\text{Ad}(K_0 \cap xK_0x^{-1})$ -invariant for all  $x \in G$ .

As in (3.4), we associate to every  $x \in G$  and  $\pi \in \text{Irr}(K \cap xKx^{-1})$  the irreducible object  $(\pi, x) \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{C})$  defined as the irreducible  $G$ - $L^\infty(G/K)$ - $L^\infty(G/K)$ -module  $\mathcal{H}$  such that  $\pi$  is isomorphic with the representation of  $K \cap xKx^{-1}$  on  $1_{xK} \cdot \mathcal{H} \cdot 1_{eK}$ . The formula

$$\varphi(\pi, x) = \dim(\pi)^{-1} \mu(x)(\chi_\pi) \quad (6.1)$$

then gives a bijection between the set of all functions  $\varphi : \text{Irr}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  and the set of all  $K$ -equivariant maps  $\mu : G \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(G)$  with the property that  $\mu(x)$  is supported on  $K \cap xKx^{-1}$  for every  $x \in G$ .

Denote by  $\mathcal{P} = \text{Pol}(L^\infty(G) \rtimes_{\text{Ad}} G)$  the dense  $*$ -subalgebra defined in (3.3). We always equip  $\mathcal{P}$  with the operator space structure inherited from  $\mathcal{P} \subset L^\infty(G) \rtimes_{\text{Ad}} G$ . As in Section 5, to every function  $\varphi : \text{Irr}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is associated a linear map  $\theta_\varphi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  on the tube  $*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  of  $\mathcal{C}$ . We now explain how to associate to any  $K_0$ -equivariant map  $\mu : G \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(G)$  a linear map  $\Psi_\mu : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ . When  $\varphi$  and  $\mu$  are related by (6.1) and  $\Theta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$  is the  $*$ -anti-isomorphism of Theorem 3.1, it will turn out that  $\Psi_\mu \circ \Theta = \Theta \circ \theta_\varphi$ , so that in particular,  $\|\theta_\varphi\|_{\text{cb}} = \|\Psi_\mu\|_{\text{cb}}$ . We will further prove a criterion for  $\Psi_\mu$  to be completely bounded and that will be the main tool to prove Theorem 6.1.

Denote  $\Delta : L^\infty(G) \rightarrow L^\infty(G \times G) : \Delta(F)(g, h) = F(gh)$ . For every  $\mu \in \mathcal{E}(G)$ , the linear map

$$\psi_\mu : \text{Pol}(G) \rightarrow \text{Pol}(G) : \psi_\mu(F) = (\mu \otimes \text{id})\Delta(F)$$

is well defined. When  $\mu : G \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(G)$  is  $K_0$ -equivariant with respect to the compact open subgroup  $K_0 < G$ , we define

$$\Psi_\mu : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P} : \Psi_\mu(F u_x p_L) = \psi_{\mu(x)}(F) u_x p_L$$

for every  $F \in \text{Pol}(G)$ ,  $x \in G$  and open subgroup  $L < K_0$ .

**Lemma 6.2.** *Denote by  $\Theta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$  the  $*$ -anti-isomorphism constructed in Theorem 3.1 between the tube  $*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{P} = \text{Pol}(L^\infty(G) \rtimes_{\text{Ad}} G)$ . Let  $\varphi : \text{Irr}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be any function and denote by  $\mu : G \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(G)$  the associated  $K$ -equivariant map given by (6.1) with  $\mu(x)$  supported in  $K \cap xKx^{-1}$  for all  $x \in G$ . Then,  $\Psi_\mu \circ \Theta = \Theta \circ \theta_\varphi$ .*

*Proof.* The result follows from a direct computation using (3.7). □

We prove the following technical result in exactly the same way as [J91].

**Lemma 6.3.** *Let  $K_0, K < G$  be compact open subgroups and  $\mu : G \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(G)$  a  $K_0$ -equivariant map. Let  $\kappa \geq 0$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

1.  $\Psi_\mu$  extends to a completely bounded map on  $C_0(G) \rtimes_{\text{Ad}}^r G$  with  $\|\Psi_\mu\|_{\text{cb}} \leq \kappa$ .
2.  $\Psi_\mu$  extends to a normal completely bounded map on  $L^\infty(G) \rtimes_{\text{Ad}} G$  with  $\|\Psi_\mu\|_{\text{cb}} \leq \kappa$ .
3. There exists a nondegenerate  $*$ -representation  $\pi : C_0(G) \rtimes_{\text{Ad}}^f G \rightarrow B(\mathcal{K})$  and bounded maps  $V, W : G \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$  such that

- $V(kxk') = \pi(k)V(x)$  and  $W(kxk') = \pi(k)W(x)$  for all  $x \in G$ ,  $k \in K_0$  and  $k' \in K$ ,
- $\mu(zy^{-1})(F) = \langle \pi(F)\pi(zy^{-1})V(y), W(z) \rangle$  for all  $F \in \text{Pol}(G)$  and  $y, z \in G$ ,
- $\|V\|_\infty \|W\|_\infty \leq \kappa$ .

In particular, every  $\mu(x)$  is an actual complex measure on  $G$ , i.e.  $\mu(x) \in C_0(G)^*$ .

*Proof.* **1**  $\Rightarrow$  **3**. Denote  $P = C_0(G) \rtimes_{\text{Ad}}^r G$  and consider the (unique) completely bounded extension of  $\Psi_\mu$  to  $P$ , which we still denote as  $\Psi_\mu$ . Define the nondegenerate  $*$ -representation

$$\zeta : P \rightarrow B(L^2(G)) : \zeta(F) = F(e)1 \text{ and } \zeta(u_x) = \lambda_x$$

for all  $F \in C_0(G)$ ,  $x \in G$ . Then  $\zeta \circ \Psi_\mu : P \rightarrow B(L^2(G))$  has cb norm bounded by  $\kappa$  and satisfies

$$(\zeta \circ \Psi_\mu)(u_k S u_{k'}) = \lambda_k (\zeta \circ \Psi_\mu)(S) \lambda_{k'}$$

for all  $S \in P$ ,  $k, k' \in K_0$ . By the Stinespring dilation theorem proved in [BO08, Theorem B.7], we can choose a nondegenerate  $*$ -representation  $\pi : P \rightarrow B(\mathcal{K})$  and bounded operators  $\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} : L^2(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$  such that

- $(\zeta \circ \Psi_\mu)(S) = \mathcal{W}^* \pi(S) \mathcal{V}$  for all  $S \in P$ ,
- $\mathcal{V} \lambda_k = \pi(k) \mathcal{V}$  and  $\mathcal{W} \lambda_k = \pi(k) \mathcal{W}$  for all  $k \in K_0$ ,
- $\|\mathcal{V}\| \|\mathcal{W}\| = \|\Psi_\mu\|_{\text{cb}} \leq \kappa$ .

We normalize the left Haar measure on  $G$  such that  $\lambda(K) = 1$  and define the maps  $V, W : G \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$  given by  $V(y) = \mathcal{V}(1_{yK})$  and  $W(z) = \mathcal{W}(1_{zK})$ . By construction, **3** holds.

**3**  $\Rightarrow$  **2**. Write  $P'' = L^\infty(G) \rtimes_{\text{Ad}} G$ . Denote by  $\pi_r : P'' \rightarrow B(L^2(G \times G))$  the standard representation given by

$$(\pi_r(F)\xi)(g, h) = F(hgh^{-1})\xi(g, h) \text{ and } (\pi_r(u_x)\xi)(g, h) = \xi(g, x^{-1}h)$$

for all  $g, h, x \in G$ ,  $F \in L^\infty(G)$ . For every nondegenerate  $*$ -representation  $\pi : C_0(G) \rtimes_{\text{Ad}}^f G \rightarrow B(\mathcal{K})$ , there is a unique normal  $*$ -homomorphism  $\tilde{\pi} : P'' \rightarrow B(\mathcal{K} \otimes L^2(G \times G))$  satisfying

$$\tilde{\pi}(F) = (\pi \otimes \pi_r)\Delta(F) \text{ and } \tilde{\pi}(u_x) = \pi(x) \otimes \pi_r(x)$$

for all  $F \in C_0(G)$ ,  $x \in G$ . Given  $V$  and  $W$  as in **3**, we then define the bounded operators  $\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W} : L^2(G \times G) \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \otimes L^2(G \times G)$  by

$$(\mathcal{V}\xi)(g, h) = \xi(g, h)V(h) \text{ and } (\mathcal{W}\xi)(g, h) = \xi(g, h)W(h)$$

for all  $g, h \in G$ . Note that  $\|\mathcal{V}\| = \|V\|_\infty$  and  $\|\mathcal{W}\| = \|W\|_\infty$ . Since  $\Psi_\mu(T) = \mathcal{W}^* \tilde{\pi}(T) \mathcal{V}$  for all  $T \in \mathcal{P}$ , it follows that **2** holds.

**2**  $\Rightarrow$  **1** is trivial. □

We are now ready to prove Theorem 6.1. We follow closely the proof of [O10, Theorem A].

*Proof of Theorem 6.1.* We define  $\mathcal{Q}(G)$  as the set of all maps  $\mu : G \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(G)$  satisfying the following properties:

- there exists a compact open subgroup  $K_0 < G$  such that  $\mu$  is  $K_0$ -equivariant,

- for every  $x \in G$ , we have that  $\mu(x) \in C_0(G)^*$ ,  $\mu(x)$  is compactly supported and  $\mu(x)$  is absolutely continuous with respect to the Haar measure,
- $\|\Psi_\mu\|_{\text{cb}} < \infty$ .

Writing  $\|\mu\|_{\text{cb}} := \|\Psi_\mu\|_{\text{cb}}$ , we call a sequence  $\mu_n \in \mathcal{Q}(G)$  a cbai (completely bounded approximate identity) if

- $\limsup_n \|\mu_n\|_{\text{cb}} < \infty$ ,
- for every  $F \in C_0(G)$ , we have that  $\mu_n(x)(F) \rightarrow F(e)$  uniformly on compact sets of  $x \in G$ ,
- for every  $n$ , we have that  $\mu_n$  has compact support (i.e.  $\mu_n(x) = 0$  for all  $x$  outside a compact subset of  $G$ ).

If a cbai exists, we define  $\Gamma(G)$  as the smallest possible value of  $\limsup_n \|\mu_n\|_{\text{cb}}$ , where  $(\mu_n)$  runs over all cbai. Note that this smallest possible value is always attained by a cbai.

First assume that  $\mathcal{C}$  is weakly amenable. By Proposition 5.1, we can take a sequence of finitely supported functions  $\varphi_n : \text{Irr}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  converging to 1 pointwise and satisfying  $\limsup_n \|\theta_{\varphi_n}\|_{\text{cb}} = \Lambda(\mathcal{C})$  where  $\theta_{\varphi_n} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  as before. Define the  $K$ -equivariant maps  $\mu_n : G \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(G)$  associated with  $\varphi_n$  by (6.1).

For a fixed  $n$  and a fixed  $x \in G$ , there are only finitely many  $\pi \in \text{Irr}(K \cap xKx^{-1})$  such that  $\varphi_n(\pi, x) \neq 0$ . So,  $\mu_n(x)$  is an actual complex measure on  $K \cap xKx^{-1}$  that is absolutely continuous with respect to the Haar measure (and with the Radon-Nikodym derivative being in  $\text{Pol}(K \cap xKx^{-1})$ ). By Lemma 6.2,  $\|\Psi_{\mu_n}\|_{\text{cb}} = \|\theta_{\varphi_n}\|_{\text{cb}} < \infty$ . So,  $\mu_n \in \mathcal{Q}(G)$  and the sequence  $(\mu_n)$  is a cbai with  $\limsup_n \|\mu_n\|_{\text{cb}} \leq \Lambda(\mathcal{C})$ . Thus,  $\Gamma(G) \leq \Lambda(\mathcal{C})$ . Write  $\kappa = \Gamma(G)^{1/2}$ .

For every map  $\mu : G \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(G)$ , we define

$$\bar{\mu} : G \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(G) : \bar{\mu}(x)(F) = \overline{(\mu(x^{-1}) \circ \text{Ad}(x^{-1}))(F)}.$$

If  $\mu$  is  $K_0$ -equivariant, also  $\bar{\mu}$  is  $K_0$ -equivariant and  $\Psi_{\bar{\mu}}(T) = (\Psi_\mu(T^*))^*$  for all  $T \in \mathcal{P}$ . So,  $\|\bar{\mu}\|_{\text{cb}} = \|\mu\|_{\text{cb}}$ . Also, if  $(\mu_n)$  is a cbai, then  $(\bar{\mu}_n)$  is a cbai.

Since  $\Gamma(G) = \kappa^2 < \infty$  and using Lemma 6.3, we can take a cbai  $(\mu_n)$ , a nondegenerate  $*$ -representation  $\pi : C_0(G) \rtimes_{\text{Ad}}^f G \rightarrow B(\mathcal{K})$  and bounded functions  $V_n, W_n : G \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$  as in Lemma 6.3.3 with

$$\lim_n \|V_n\|_\infty = \kappa = \lim_n \|W_n\|_\infty.$$

Replacing  $\mu_n$  by  $(\mu_n + \bar{\mu}_n)/2$ , we may assume that  $\mu_n = \bar{\mu}_n$  for all  $n$ . It then follows that both formulas

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_n(zy^{-1})(F) &= \langle \pi(F)\pi(zy^{-1})V_n(y), W_n(z) \rangle \quad \text{and} \\ \mu_n(zy^{-1})(F) &= \langle \pi(F)\pi(zy^{-1})W_n(y), V_n(z) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

hold for all  $F \in C_0(G)$  and  $y, z \in G$ .

Put  $\eta_n := \mu_n(e)$ . We prove that  $\|\eta_n \circ \text{Ad } x - \eta_n\| \rightarrow 0$  uniformly on compact sets of  $x \in G$ . To prove this statement, fix an arbitrary compact subset  $C \subset G$  and an arbitrary sequence  $x_n \in C$ . Define

$$\zeta_n : G \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(G) : \zeta_n(x) = \mu_n(x_n x) \circ \text{Ad } x_n.$$

Since  $\Psi_{\zeta_n}(T) = u_{x_n}^* \Psi_{\mu_n}(u_{x_n} T)$ , it follows that  $(\zeta_n)$  is a cbai. Also note that for all  $y, z \in G$  and  $F \in C_0(G)$ , we have

$$\zeta_n(zy^{-1})(F) = \langle \pi((\text{Ad } x_n)(F)) \pi(x_n zy^{-1}) V_n(y), W_n(x_n z) \rangle = \langle \pi(F) \pi(zy^{-1}) V_n(y), W'_n(z) \rangle,$$

with  $W'_n(z) = \pi(x_n)^* W_n(x_n z)$ . Then also  $(\mu_n + \zeta_n)/2$  is a cbai satisfying

$$\frac{1}{2}(\mu_n + \zeta_n)(zy^{-1})(F) = \langle \pi(F)\pi(zy^{-1})V_n(y), (W_n(z) + W'_n(z))/2 \rangle$$

for all  $y, z \in G$  and  $F \in C_0(G)$ . We conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa^2 &\leq \liminf_n \|V_n\|_\infty \|(W_n + W'_n)/2\|_\infty = \kappa \liminf_n \|(W_n + W'_n)/2\|_\infty \\ &\leq \kappa \limsup_n \|(W_n + W'_n)/2\|_\infty \leq \kappa \frac{1}{2} \limsup_n (\|W_n\|_\infty + \|W'_n\|_\infty) = \kappa^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\lim_n \|(W_n + W'_n)/2\|_\infty = \kappa$ . So, we can choose  $z_n \in G$  such that  $\lim_n \|(W_n(z_n) + W'_n(z_n))/2\| = \kappa$ . Since also  $\limsup_n \|W_n(z_n)\| \leq \kappa$  and  $\limsup_n \|W'_n(z_n)\| \leq \kappa$ , the parallelogram law implies that  $\lim_n \|W_n(z_n) - W'_n(z_n)\| = 0$ .

Since for all  $F \in C_0(G)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_n(e)(F) &= \zeta_n(z_n z_n^{-1})(F) = \langle \pi(F)V_n(z_n), W'_n(z_n) \rangle \quad \text{and} \\ \mu_n(e)(F) &= \mu_n(z_n z_n^{-1})(F) = \langle \pi(F)V_n(z_n), W_n(z_n) \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

it follows that  $\lim_n \|\zeta_n(e) - \mu_n(e)\| = 0$ . This means that  $\lim_n \|\mu_n(x_n) \circ \text{Ad } x_n - \mu_n(e)\| = 0$ . Since the sequence  $x_n \in C$  was arbitrary, we have proved that  $\lim_n \|\mu_n(x) - \mu_n(e) \circ \text{Ad } x^{-1}\| = 0$  uniformly on compact sets of  $x \in G$ .

Reasoning in a similar way with  $\zeta_n : G \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(G) : \zeta_n(x) = \mu_n(xx_n^{-1})$ , which satisfies

$$\zeta_n(zy^{-1})(F) = \langle \pi(F)\pi(zy^{-1})V'_n(y), W_n(z) \rangle$$

with  $V'_n(y) = \pi(x_n)^* V_n(x_n y)$ , we also find that  $\lim_n \|\mu_n(x) - \mu_n(e)\| = 0$  uniformly on compact sets of  $x \in G$ . Both statements together imply that  $\|\eta_n \circ \text{Ad } x - \eta_n\| \rightarrow 0$  uniformly on compact sets of  $x \in G$ .

We next claim that for every  $H \in \text{Pol}(G)$  with  $H(e) = 1$  and  $\|H\|_\infty = 1$ , we have that  $\lim_n \|\eta_n \cdot H - \eta_n\| = 0$ . To prove this claim, define

$$\zeta_n : G \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(G) : \zeta_n(x)(F) = \mu_n(x)(HF).$$

Since  $\zeta_n(zy^{-1})(F) = \langle \pi(F)\pi(zy^{-1})V_n(y), W'_n(z) \rangle$  with  $W'_n(z) = \pi(H)^* W_n(z)$  and because the function  $H \in \text{Pol}(G)$  is both left and right  $K_0$ -invariant for a small enough compact open subgroup  $K_0 < G$ , it follows from Lemma 6.3 that

$$\|\zeta_n\|_{\text{cb}} \leq \|V_n\|_\infty \|W'_n\|_\infty \leq \|V_n\|_\infty \|W_n\|_\infty = \|\mu_n\|_{\text{cb}}.$$

So again,  $(\zeta_n)$  and  $(\mu_n + \zeta_n)/2$  are cbai. The same reasoning as above gives us a sequence  $z_n \in G$  with  $\lim_n \|W_n(z_n) - W'_n(z_n)\| = 0$ , which allows us to conclude that  $\lim_n \|\mu_n(e) - \zeta_n(e)\| = 0$ , thus proving the claim.

Altogether, we have proved that  $\eta_n \in C_0(G)^*$  is a sequence of complex measures that are absolutely continuous with respect to the Haar measure and that satisfy

- $\|\eta_n - \eta_n \circ \text{Ad } x\| \rightarrow 0$  uniformly on compact sets of  $x \in G$ ,
- $\|\eta_n \cdot 1_L - \eta_n\| \rightarrow 0$  for every compact open subset  $L \subset G$  with  $e \in L$ ,
- $\eta_n(F) \rightarrow F(e)$  for every  $F \in C_0(G)$ .

In particular,  $\liminf_n \|\eta_n\| \geq 1$ . But then  $\omega_n := \|\eta_n\|^{-1} |\eta_n|$  is a sequence of probability measures on  $G$  that are absolutely continuous with respect to the Haar measure and satisfy  $\omega_n \rightarrow \delta_e$  weakly\* and  $\|\omega_n \circ \text{Ad } x - \omega_n\| \rightarrow 0$  uniformly on compact sets of  $x \in G$ .

By Lemma 6.3, the maps  $\Psi_{\mu_n}$  extend to normal cb maps on  $L^\infty(G) \rtimes_{\text{Ad}} G$ . Restricting to  $L(G)$ , we obtain the compactly supported Herz-Schur multipliers

$$L(G) \rightarrow L(G) : u_x \mapsto \gamma_n(x)u_x \quad \text{for all } x \in G,$$

where  $\gamma_n : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is the compactly supported, locally constant function given by  $\gamma_n(x) = \mu_n(x)(1)$ . So,  $G$  is weakly amenable and

$$\Lambda(G) \leq \limsup_n \|\Psi_{\mu_n}|_{L(G)}\|_{\text{cb}} \leq \limsup_n \|\Psi_{\mu_n}\| \leq \Lambda(C).$$

Conversely, assume that  $G$  is weakly amenable and that there exists a sequence of probability measures  $\omega_n \in C_0(G)^*$  that are absolutely continuous with respect to the Haar measure and such that  $\omega_n \rightarrow \delta_e$  weakly\* and  $\|\omega_n \circ \text{Ad } x - \omega_n\| \rightarrow 0$  uniformly on compact sets of  $x \in G$ .

Since  $G$  is weakly amenable, we can take a sequence of  $K$ -biinvariant Herz-Schur multipliers  $\zeta_n : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  having compact support, converging to 1 uniformly on compacta and satisfying  $\limsup_n \|\zeta_n\|_{\text{cb}} = \Lambda(G)$ .

Denote by  $\text{Pol}(G)^+$  the set of positive, locally constant, compactly supported functions on  $G$ . Denote by  $h \in C_0(G)^*$  the Haar measure on the compact open subgroup  $K < G$ . Approximating  $\omega_n$ , we may assume that  $\omega_n = h \cdot \xi_n^2$ , where  $\xi_n$  is a sequence of  $\text{Ad } K$ -invariant functions in  $\text{Pol}(K)^+$ . Define the representation  $\pi : C_0(G) \rtimes_{\text{Ad}}^f G \rightarrow B(L^2(G))$  given by

$$(\pi(F)\xi)(g) = F(g)\xi(g) \quad \text{and} \quad (\pi(x)\xi)(g) = \Delta(x)^{1/2} \xi(x^{-1}gx)$$

for all  $F \in C_0(G)$ ,  $\xi \in L^2(G)$  and  $x, g \in G$ . We then define the  $K$ -equivariant map

$$\mu_n : G \rightarrow C_0(G)^* : \mu_n(x)(F) = \zeta_n(x) \langle \pi(F)\pi(x)\xi_n, \xi_n \rangle.$$

Since  $\xi_n$  is an  $\text{Ad } K$ -invariant element of  $\text{Pol}(K)$  and  $\pi(x)\xi_n$  is an  $\text{Ad}(xKx^{-1})$ -invariant element of  $\text{Pol}(xKx^{-1})$ , we get that  $\mu_n(x)$  is an  $\text{Ad}(K \cap xKx^{-1})$ -invariant complex measure supported on  $K \cap xKx^{-1}$  and having a density in  $\text{Pol}(K \cap xKx^{-1})$  with respect to the Haar measure. Since moreover  $\zeta_n$  is compactly supported, it follows that the functions  $\varphi_n : \text{Irr}(C) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  associated with  $\mu_n$  through (6.1) are finitely supported.

Since  $\|\omega_n \circ \text{Ad } x - \omega_n\| \rightarrow 0$  for every  $x \in G$ , we have that  $\|\pi(x)\xi_n - \xi_n\| \rightarrow 0$  for every  $x \in G$ . Since  $\omega_n \rightarrow \delta_e$  weakly\*, we have that  $\langle \pi(F)\xi_n, \xi_n \rangle \rightarrow F(e)$  for every  $F \in C_0(G)$ . Both together imply that  $\varphi_n \rightarrow 1$  pointwise.

To conclude the proof of the theorem, by Lemma 6.2, it suffices to prove that  $\limsup_n \|\mu_n\|_{\text{cb}} \leq \Lambda(G)$ .

Since  $\zeta_n$  is a  $K$ -biinvariant Herz-Schur multiplier on  $G$ , we can choose a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{K}$  and  $K$ -biinvariant functions  $V_n, W_n : G \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$  such that

$$\|V_n\|_\infty \|W_n\|_\infty = \|\zeta_n\|_{\text{cb}} \quad \text{and} \quad \zeta_n(zy^{-1}) = \langle V_n(y), W_n(z) \rangle \quad (6.2)$$

for all  $y, z \in G$ . We equip  $L^2(G) \otimes \mathcal{K}$  with the  $*$ -representation of  $C_0(G) \rtimes_{\text{Ad}}^f G$  given by  $\pi(\cdot) \otimes 1$ . We define the bounded maps

$$\mathcal{V}_n : G \rightarrow L^2(G) \otimes \mathcal{K} : \mathcal{V}_n(y) = \xi_n \otimes V_n(y) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{W}_n : G \rightarrow L^2(G) \otimes \mathcal{K} : \mathcal{W}_n(y) = \xi_n \otimes W_n(y).$$



One checks that

$$\mu_n(zy^{-1})(F) = \langle (\pi(F)\pi(zy^{-1}) \otimes 1)\mathcal{V}_n(y), \mathcal{W}_n(z) \rangle$$

for all  $y, z$  and that all other conditions in Lemma 6.3.3 are satisfied, with  $\|\mathcal{V}_n\|_\infty = \|V_n\|_\infty$  and  $\|\mathcal{W}_n\|_\infty = \|W_n\|_\infty$ . So, we conclude that

$$\limsup_n \|\mu_n\|_{\text{cb}} \leq \limsup_n \|\zeta_n\|_{\text{cb}} = \Lambda(G)$$

and this ends the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

**Example 6.4.** Taking  $G$  as in Example 4.4, the category  $\mathcal{C}$  is weakly amenable with  $\Lambda(\mathcal{C}) = 1$ . Indeed,  $G$  is weakly amenable with  $\Lambda(G) = 1$  and the probability measures  $\mu_n$  constructed in Example 4.4 are absolutely continuous with respect to the Haar measure, so that the result follows from Theorem 6.1.

Taking  $G = \text{SL}(2, F)$  as in Proposition 4.2, we get that  $\mathcal{C}$  is not weakly amenable, although  $G$  is weakly amenable with  $\Lambda(G) = 1$ .

## References

- [A14] Y. Arano, Unitary spherical representations of Drinfeld doubles. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, to appear. arXiv:1410.6238
- [BHV08] B. Bekka, P. de la Harpe and A. Valette, Kazhdan's property (T). Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2008.
- [Br14] A. Brothier, Weak amenability for subfactors. *Internat. J. Math.* **26** (2015), art. id. 1550048.
- [BO08] N.P. Brown and N. Ozawa,  $C^*$ -algebras and finite-dimensional approximations. *Graduate Studies in Mathematics* **88**. American Mathematical Society, Providence, 2008.
- [B10] R.D. Burstein, Automorphisms of the bipartite graph planar algebra. *J. Funct. Anal.* **259** (2010), 2384-2403.
- [DFY13] K. De Commer, A. Freslon and M. Yamashita, CCAP for universal discrete quantum groups. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **331** (2014), 677-701.
- [DV10] S. Deprez and S. Vaes, A classification of all finite index subfactors for a class of group-measure space  $\text{II}_1$  factors. *J. Noncommut. Geom.* **5** (2011), 523-545.
- [C05] Y. de Cornulier, Finitely presentable, non-Hopfian groups with Kazhdan's property (T) and infinite outer automorphism group. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **135** (2007), 951-959.
- [GJ15] S. Ghosh and C. Jones, Annular representation theory for rigid  $C^*$ -tensor categories. *J. Funct. Anal.* **270** (2016), 1537-1584.
- [J91] P. Jolissaint, A characterization of completely bounded multipliers of Fourier algebras. *Colloq. Math.* **63** (1992), 311-313.
- [J98] V.F.R. Jones, The planar algebra of a bipartite graph. In *Knots in Hellas '98*, World Scientific, 1999, pp. 94-117.
- [J99] V.F.R. Jones, Planar algebras, I. arXiv:math.QA/9909027
- [LR96] R. Longo and J.E. Roberts, A theory of dimension. *K-Theory* **11** (1997), 103-159.
- [MPS08] S. Morrison, E. Peters and N. Snyder, Skein theory for the  $D_{2n}$  planar algebras. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **214** (2010), 117-139.
- [M01] M. Müger, From subfactors to categories and topology, I. Frobenius algebras in and Morita equivalence of tensor categories. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **180** (2003), 81-157.
- [NT13] S. Neshveyev and L. Tuset, Compact quantum groups and their representation categories. *Cours Spécialisés* **20**. Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 2013.
- [NY15a] S. Neshveyev and M. Yamashita, Drinfeld center and representation theory for monoidal categories. *Preprint*. arXiv:1501.07390

- [NY15b] S. Neshveyev and M. Yamashita, A few remarks on the tube algebra of a monoidal category. *Preprint*. arXiv:1511.06332
- [O93] A. Ocneanu, Chirality for operator algebras. In *Subfactors (Kyuzeso, 1993)*, World Sci. Publ., River Edge, 1994, pp. 39-63.
- [O10] N. Ozawa, Examples of groups which are not weakly amenable. *Kyoto J. Math.* **52** (2012), 333-344.
- [P94a] S. Popa, Symmetric enveloping algebras, amenability and AFD properties for subfactors. *Math. Res. Lett.* **1** (1994), 409-425.
- [P94b] S. Popa, An axiomatization of the lattice of higher relative commutants of a subfactor. *Invent. Math.* **120** (1995), 427-445.
- [P99] S. Popa, Some properties of the symmetric enveloping algebra of a subfactor, with applications to amenability and property T. *Doc. Math.* **4** (1999), 665-744.
- [P01] S. Popa, On a class of type  $II_1$  factors with Betti numbers invariants. *Ann. of Math.* **163** (2006), 809-899.
- [PSV15] S. Popa, D. Shlyakhtenko and S. Vaes, Cohomology and  $L^2$ -Betti numbers for subfactors and quasi-regular inclusions. *Preprint*. arXiv:1511.07329
- [PV14] S. Popa and S. Vaes, Representation theory for subfactors,  $\lambda$ -lattices and  $C^*$ -tensor categories, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **340** (2015), 1239-1280.
- [V03] S. Vaes, Strictly outer actions of groups and quantum groups. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **578** (2005), 147-184.
- [W88] A. Wassermann, Ergodic actions of compact groups on operator algebras, I. *Ann. of Math.* **130** (1989), 273-319.
- [Z98] Y. Zhu, Hecke algebras and representation ring of Hopf algebras. In *First International Congress of Chinese Mathematicians (Beijing, 1998)*, AMS/IP Stud. Adv. Math. **20**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 2001, pp. 219-227.